FOREWORD

INTRODUCTION

Hexamethylene Diisocyanate CAS N[•]:822-06-0

SIDS Initial Assessment Report

for

12th SIAM

(Paris, France, 27-29 June 2001)

Chemical Name :	Hexamethylene diisocyanate
CAS No :	822-06-0
Sponsor Country : Germany	
National SIDS Contact Point in Spor	asor Country
Lead Organization:	
Name of lead organization:	BMU (Bundesministerium für Umwelt, Naturschutz und Reaktorsicherheit)
Contact person:	Prof. Dr. Ulrich Schlottmann
Address:	Postfach 12 06 29
	D- 53048 Bonn- Bad Godesberg
History:	see next page
Comments:	
Date of Revision:	20. December 2001

OECD/ICCA - The BUA^{*} Peer Review Process

Qualified BUA personnel (toxicologists, ecotoxicologists) perform a quality control on the full SIDS dossier submitted by industry. This quality control process follows internal BUA guidelines/instructions for the OECD/ICCA peer review process and includes:

- a full (or update) literature search to verify completeness of data provided by industry in the IUCLID/HEDSET
- Review of data and assessment of the quality of data
- Review of data evaluation
- Check of adequacy of selection process for key studies for OECD endpoints, and, where relevant, for non-OECD endpoints by checking original reports/publications
- Review of key study description according robust summaries requirements; completeness and correctness is checked against original reports/publications (if original reports are missing: reliability (4) not assignable)
- Review of validity of structure-activity relationships
- Review of full SIDS dossier (including SIAR, SIAP and proposal for conclusion and recommendation for further work)
- In case of data gaps, review of testing plan or rationale for not testing.

BUA (GDCh-Beratergremium für Altstoffe): Advisory Committee on Existing Chemicals of the Association of German Chemists (GDCh)

SIDS INITIAL ASSESSMENT PROFILE

CAS No.	822-06-0	
Chemical Name	1,6-Hexamethylene diisocyanate	
Structural Formula	O=C=N-(CH ₂) ₆ -N=C=O	
RECOMMENDATIONS		

Currently a candidate for further work

SUMMARY CONCLUSIONS OF THE SIAR

Human Health

1,6-Hexamethylene diisocyanate (HDI) has acute effects: LD50, rat (oral): 746 – 959 mg/kg bw, LC50 rat (inhalation): (0.124 mg/l) 18.2ppm/4h, LD50, rabbit (dermal): 599 mg/kg bw. The observed symptoms are indicative of respiratory tract irritation.

1,6-Hexamethylene diisocyanate is corrosive to the skin and the eye.

1,6-Hexamethylene diisocyanate was found to induce dermal and respiratory sensitization in animals and humans. There is no threshold known for this effect.

Inhalation studies with repeated exposures to 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate vapor show that the respiratory tract is the target with 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate showing primarily upper respiratory tract lesions (nasal cavity). 1,6-Hexamethylene diisocyanate did not show a neurotoxic effect in a combined reproduction/developmental/neurotoxicity study. Life-time inhalation exposure to rats revealed a progression of non-neoplastic respiratory tract lesions, primarily to the nasal cavity, and represented the sequelae of non-specific irritation. Based on the presence of only reversible tissue responses to irritation at the low concentration of 0.005 ppm, this concentration was a NOAEL. No carcinogenic potential in rats was observed after life-time inhalation.

1,6-Hexamethylene diisocyanate showed no mutagenic activity *in vitro* in bacterial and in mammalian cell test systems.

1,6-Hexamethylene diisocyanate showed no clastogenic activity in vivo.

1,6-Hexamethylene diisocyanate has no effect on fertilty and post-natal viability through post-natal day 4 in the rat after inhalation up to 0.299 ppm. The overall NOEL was 0.005 ppm.

Inhalation of 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate during the pregnancy of rats produced maternal effects (nasal turbinate histopathology) at concentrations ≥ 0.052 ppm. No developmental toxicity was observed up to 0.308 ppm.

Environment

HDI has a melting point of -67 °C. The substance forms oily droplets in water and hydrolyses rapidly. The vapour pressure of HDI is 0.7 Pa/20 °C. A log K_{ow} is not determinable due to the instability in water.

Hydrolysation of HDI was 90 % after a reaction period of 30 min in water at 20 °C. Hydrolysis products are hexamethylene diamine (HDA) and polyurea. Biodegradation tests on hexamethylene diamine (HAD) show the substance to be inherently biodegradable. Polyurea is more or less inert and because of it's molecular size not bioavailable. The favourite compartment for HDA is water as suggested by the high water solubility. Mackay level I distribution for HDA is not applicable as this substance is protonated under environmental pH conditions. Due to the high solubility in water of HDA (800 g/l at 15.6 °C) and its log

Kow of 0.02 no bioaccumulation is expected.

In air HDI is indirectly photodegradable with $t^{1/2} = 48.4$ h.

As the inherent property of HDI is to hydrolyse rapidly in an aquatic environment the ecotoxicological tests were conducted with the hydrolysis product(s) under defined conditions. The acute toxicity has been determined for fish (Brachydanio rerio) with a 96 hLC₀ of >= 82.8 mg/l, for Daphnia magna with a 48h-EC₀ of >= 89.1 mg/l, and for algae (Scenedesmus subspicatus) a 72 hEC₅₀ of >77.4 mg/l and a 72h-NOEC of 11.7 mg/l A PNECaqua of 77.4 µg/l is derived from the EC₅₀-value for algae using an assessment factor of 1000. This factor is chosen because only short-term tests are available.

Exposure

The world production capacity of name in full(HDI) amounts to about 110,000 t/a, thereof about 49,000 t/a are produced in the USA (2 producers), about 11,000 t/a in Japan (3 producers), and about 50,000 t/a in Western Europe (3 producers). HDI is not used as the monomer but is industrially processed to higher molecular weight compounds. These are used in industrial applications (mainly surface coatings) where especially lightfastness and weatherstability are required. Exposure to consumers cannot be excluded because there are a limited number of products that consumers can use which contain low concentrations of HDI. In certain occupational settings exposure may occur from the inappropriate use of products containing small concentrations of HDI.

NATURE OF FURTHER WORK RECOMMENDED

The chemical is an irritant and a respiratory sensitizer without a known threshold. There is a need for further work (exposure assessment) in situations where there are dispersive uses (e.g. car lacquers). SIAM was informed that it is adequately controlled during manufacture (at 8 sites) and in industrial processes.

CAS NO	: 822-06-0	SPECIES	PROTOCOL	RESULTS
PHYSIC	AL-CHEMICAL			
2.1	Melting Point	3		-67 °C
2.2	Boiling Point			255 °C (at 1013 hPa)
2.3	Density		DIN 53217/2	1.05 g/cm³ at 25 °C
2.4	Vapour Pressure			0.7 Pa at 20 °C
2.5	log Kow;BCF			not determinable
2.6 A	Water Solubility			not determinable
В	рН			
	рКа			
2.12	Oxidation: Red. Potential			
ENVIRON PATHW/	NMENTAL FATE AND AY			
3.1.1	Photodegradation	3	AOPWIN 1994	in air t ½ = 48.4 h (5 * 10 ⁵ OH/cm³; under the conditions in Western Europe)
3.1.2	Stability in Water			fast hydrolysation DT90 30-50 min
3.2	Monitoring Data			in air = mg/m^3 in surface water = $\mu g/l$ in soil/sediment = $mg/kg dw$ in biota = $mg/kg dw$
3.3	Transport and		Henry Constant	not applicable
	Distribution		Mackay Level 1	not applicable
3.5	Biodegradation		Activated Sludge,domestic	42 % after 28 d
			Industrial Sewage	0 % after 28 d
ECOTO	KICOLOGY			
4.1	Acute/Prolonged Toxicity to Fish	Brachydanio rerio	92/69/EEC C.1	LC ₀ (96 h) >= 82.8 mg/l*
4.2	Acute Toxicity to Aquatic Invertebrates	Daphnia magna	92/69/EEC C.2	EC₀ (48 h) >= 89.1 mg/l*
4.3	Toxicity to Aquatic Plants e.g. Algae	Scenedesmus subspicatus	92/69/EEC C.3	EC₅₀ (72 h) > 77.4 mg/l*
4.4	Toxicity to Microorganisms e.g. Bacteria	Activated Sludge	88/302/EE C	EC ₅₀ (3h) = 842 mg/l*
4.5.1	Chronic Toxicity to Fish			no data
4.5.2	Chronic Toxicity to Aquatic Invertebrates			no data
4.6.1	Toxicity to Soil Dwelling Organisms			no data

FULL SIDS SUMMARY

CAS N	O: 822-06-0	SPECIES	PROTOCOL	RESULTS
4.6.2	Toxicity to Terrestrial Plants			no data
4.6.3.	Toxicity to other Non- Mammalian Terrestrial Species (incl. Birds)			no data
TOXICO	DLOGY			
5.1.1	Acute Oral Toxicity	Rat male Rat male		$LD_{50} = 746 \text{ mg/kg}$ $LD_{50} = 959 \text{ mg/kg}$
5.1.2	Acute Inhalation Toxicity	Rat	OECD 403	LC ₅₀ = 124 mg/m ³ (18.2 ppm)
5.1.3	Acute Dermal Toxicity	Rabbit male	Exposure time: 24 h	$LD_{50} = 599 \text{ mg/kg}$
5.2	Corrosiveness and Irritation			
5.2.1	Skin Irritation	Rabbit	OECD 404 (occlusive)	corrosive
5.2.2	Eye Irritation	Rabbit	OECD 405	corrosive
5.3	Sensitization - skin	Guinea pig	OECD 406	Sensitizing
	- lung	Guinea pig	Induction: 3 x intradermal (day 0, 2,4) or 1 x intradermal (day 0) 5 x 3h inhalation (day 0-4) Challenge: inhalation (day 21,22, 23, 28)	Sensitizing
5.4	Repeated Dose Toxicity	Rat	Inhalation, 3 weeks, 5 h/d, 5 d/week	NOEL = 0.005 ppm (0.034 mg/m ³ ; ca. 0.002 mg/kg bw/d)
		Rat	Inhalation, 13 weeks, 6 h/d, 5 d/week	LOEL = 0.01 ppm (0.068 mg/m³; ca. 0.004 mg/kg bw /d)
		Rat	Inhalation, 2 years, 6h/d, 5 d/week	NOAEL = 0.005 ppm (0.034 mg/m ³ ; ca. 0.002 mg/kg bw/d)
5.5	Genetic Toxicity in Vitro			
A	Bacterial Test (Gene Mutation)	Salmonella typhimurium TA 98; 100, 1535 und 1537		 (with metabolic activation) (without metabolic activation)
В	Non-Bacterial in Vitro Test	HPRT assay (CHO cells)		 (with metabolic activation) (without metabolic activation)
5.6	Genetic Toxicity in Vivo			
	Cytogenetic assay	Mouse bone marrow cells	single inhalation	-

CAS NO	: 822-06-0	SPECIES	PROTOCOL	RESULTS
5.8	Toxicity to Reproduction	Rat	OECD 422	NOEL Maternalt. (systemic toxicity) = $0.299 \text{ ppm} (2.03 \text{ mg/m}^3)$ NOEL Maternalt. (local effect in the nasal cavity)= $0.005 \text{ ppm} (0.034 \text{ mg/m}^3)$
				NOEL Offspring= 0.299 ppm (0.002 mg/l)
5.9	Developmental Toxicity/Teratogenicity	Rat	OECD 414	NOEL Maternalt. (systemic toxicity)= 0.308 ppm (2.1 mg/m ³)) NOEL Maternalt. (local effect in the nasal cavity)= 0.005 ppm (0.034 mg/m ³)NOEL Teratogen.: 0.308 ppm (2.1 mg/m ³)
5.11	Experience with Human Exposure	Exposed workers		Sensitization (repiratory hypersensi- tivity, dermal sensitization) and irritation (chronic decrease in pulmonary function) predominate

* effect data refer to the degradation products

SIDS Initial Assessment Report

1. IDENTITY

CAS Number	822-06-0
Name	1,6-Hexamethylene diisocyanate / HDI
Molecular formula	C8 H12 N2 O2
~	

Structure:

O=C=N-(CH₂)₆-N=C=O

Physico-Chemical Properties:

HDI is a colourless or slightly yellow liquid with a melting point of -67 °C. With a density of 1.05 g/cm^3 (at 25 °C) HDI is not much heavier than water. The substance forms only droplets in water and hydrolyses rapidly (Sopac & Boltromejuk 1974; Bayer AG SDS 2000). The vapour pressure of HDI is 0.7 Pa (at 20 °C) (DFG 1988). A log K_{ow} is not determinable due to the instability in water.

The purity of the substance is given wit h > 99.5 % w/w (Bayer AG SDS 2000).

2. GENERAL INFORMATION ON EXPOSURE

The world production capacity of HDI amounts to about 110,000 t/a. This figure can be split up as follows:

USA, 2 producers:	about 49,000 t/a
Japan, 3 producers:	about 11,000 t/a
Western Europe, 3 producers:	about 50,000 t/a

HDI can be produced by phosgenation of hexamethylene diamine and by a phosgene free process. In the phosgenation process HDI is produced continuously by reacting 1,6-hexamethylene diamine with phosgene in a solvent. The solvent and excess phosgene are recovered and returned to the production process.

In the phosgene free process HDI is produced continuously by reacting 1,6-hexamethylene diamine with urea and n-butanol. Ammonia, which is formed as a by-product of the urethanization step is removed by a thermal exhaust purification. Butanol is recovered and returned to the production process. The crude HDI product is purified by distillation. Production and processing to higher molecular compounds is carried out in closed systems.

HDI is not used as the monomer. It is industrially processed to polymers like, uretdiones, isocyanurates, and biurets. Furthermore HDI can be industrially processed with polyols to higher molecular weight adducts. These products typically contain up to 0.5 % residual monomer HDI. They are mainly used in industrial or professional applications of surface coatings with excellent lightfastness and weatherstability even without stoving.

The Swedish product register gives the information that HDI is present in 18 products available to consumers. Most products are hardeners for paints but also for sealants, caulking and putty compounds and adhesives. HDI is present only at low concentrations (all below 0.5 % and typically approx. 0.3 %).

In the Danish product register (June 2001) there is a total of 385 products containing HDI. Most products (340) have HDI concentrations between 0 and 1 %; however there are also products that contain HDI in amounts of 20 to 100 %. Product types are adhesives, binding agents, process regulators and paints, lacquers and varnishes.

HDI may be reformed when HDI-based materials are heated to decomposition leading to HDI exposure.

2.1 Environmental Exposure and Fate

2.1.1 Environmental Exposure

At Bayer production and processing of HDI takes place in closed systems. The exhaust air is connected to a thermal exhaust purification plant (TAR). Thus during normal operation of the TAR no HDI is emitted into the atmosphere (HDI was no subject for the official German Emission

Declaration). There is no waste water from production. If cleaning of the operation plant is needed, the used solvent for cleaning is burned; devices with little dirt are treated with high pressure jet of water; this is disposed in the biological water purification plant. Due to the rapid hydrolysis of HDI and negligible amounts of HDI reaching the sewage system, no analysis of HDI is made at the sewage treatment plant outlet.

An exposure of sewage treatment plants or the terrestrial compartment to HDI is unrealistic as HDI hydrolyses rapidly.

There is no information on emission of HDI at other production / processing sites.

HDI can be released into the environment during spray applications of polymer paints containing residual amounts of monomer HDI.

2.1.2 Environmental Distribution and Fate

The environmental fate and distribution of HDI is determined by its property to react rapidly with water.

Sopac & Boltromejuk (1974) have reported that three main findings determine the result of the hydrolysis of HDI:

- 1. HDI is not soluble in the low mg/l range in water without another solvent. It forms oily droplets in water.
- 2. The diisocyanate groups of HDI react with water forming the diamine and CO₂.
- 3. The diisocyanate groups of HDI can also react with the amine already formed by hydrolysis, resulting in oligo- and than polyurea.

Sopac & Boltromejuk (1974) found in a test on the stability of HDI in drinking water the reduction of the substance was 90 % after a reaction period of 30 min. Initial concentration of HDI was 200 mg/l, no organic solvent was used, and the temperature was 20°C. The reaction rate was dependent on the initial concentration of HDI and on the temperature. From this paper it can be concluded that emissions of HDI into the aquatic environment via effluent from a sewage treatment plant will not occur. The ratio of the formed hydrolysis products is strongly dependent on test procedures (slow or fast stirring, duration of stirring...).

There are several test on biodegradation of the hydrolysis product HDA available. In a MITI I test 56 % biodegradation (on the upward trend) were found after 2 weeks (CITI, 1992). In addition there is a BOD₅/COD ratio of 104.8 % available (Institut Kuhlmann, 1989). As the BOD₅ was measured using industrial activated sludge, a statement concerning the ready biodegradability of HDA cannot be made based on this test. In a Zahn-Wellens test on HDA the pass level for inherent biodegradation was reached (Zahn/Wellens 1980). Therefore, HDA is classified at least as inherently biodegradable. Polyurea is more or less inert and because of it's molecular size not bioavailable. A test with HDI and the resulting hydrolysis products on ready biodegradation showed 42 % biodegradation after 28 days. The way of introducing the test substance was by direct weight.

A distribution calculation according to Mackay is not appropriate for the chemical HDI, due to its hydrolysing properties. If HDI reaches into water it hydrolyses to HDA and polyurea. A Mackay level I distribution for HDA is also not applicable as this substance is protonated under environmental pH conditions. However, the high water solubility suggests that HDA would largely partition into the water environment (OECD SIDS Hexamethylene diamine). Bioaccumulation of HDA is not expected due to its high water solubility of 800 g/l at 15.6 °C as well as a log K_{ow} of 0.02.

HDI reaching into the air will be photodegraded by OH-radicals, as a calculation according to Atkinson shows. The half-life due to indirect photodegradation of HDI is calculated to be 48.4 hours. The calculated half-life is regarded as worst case, since HDI will react with air humidity.

For assessment purposes it is assumed that HDI released via wastewater hydrolyses completely to HDA before reaching surface waters. This is a worst-case scenario as the other hydrolysis product polyurea is not bioavailable.

2.2 Human Exposure

The main process to manufacture HDI is by a phosgenation process. Thus special safety measures are taken to prevent any risk of exposure. For HDI itself occupational exposure limit values are laid down: In Germany a maximum limit value (MAK, TRGS 900) with 0.005 ml/m³ is sound. The same value is sound for short term exposure (15 minutes). In the USA the same value with 0.005 ml/m³ as TLV-TWA exists: a short term exposure limit value has not been established.

Personal protection equipment needs to be worn at the workplace depending on the extent of contact with the product as well as with coating material. Special advice for different workplaces and operations are given.

Exposure to consumers cannot be excluded because there are a limited number of products that consumers can use which contain low concentrations of HDI. In certain occupational settings exposure may occur from the inappropriate use of products containing small concentrations of HDI..

3. HUMAN HEALTH HAZARDS

Effects on Human Health

3.1 Acute Oral Toxicity

The LD_{50} resulting from a single oral (gavage) administration ranged from 746 to approximately 959 mg/kg bw for the male rat (Smyth 1969; Union Carbide Co. 1964, Bayer 1970). Soon after dosing the animals appeared to be extremely sluggish. All deaths occurred within the first day.

Conclusion: The acute toxicity of HDI after oral administration is moderate.

3.2 Acute Inhalation Toxicity

Several studies have evaluated the acute inhalation toxicity of HDI.

The inhalation LC₅₀ in rats of both sexes was determined to be 124 mg/m³ (= 18.2 ppm) for 4 hours of exposure to HDI vapour according to the OECD Test guideline 403 (Bayer 1997). Exposures to concentrations of \geq 55.08 mg/m³ (= 8.1 ppm) were followed by concentration-dependent signs indicative of respiratory tract irritation, such as bradypnea, dyspnea, laboured breathing pattern, rales, nostril/snout area with red encrustations, cyanosis, prostration (lying on belly), reduced motility, ungroomed haircoat, hypothermia, decrease in body weights, and piloerection.

In rats, Woolrich (1973) as cited in NIOSH (1978) reported a 6 hour value of 0.385 mg/l, while Bunge et al. (1976) and BAYER (1970) reported 4 hour LC_{50} values of 0.31 and 0.15 mg/l respectively, and BAYER (1970) reported a 1 hour LC_{50} of 0.29 mg/l. For mice Lomonova & Frolova (1968) as cited in NIOSH (1978) reported 0.03 mg/l for a 2 hour exposure. Limited information was provided in all these studies on the mode of exposure, generation and characterization of test atmospheres therefore the assessment of acute inhalation toxicity is based on the most recent BAYER study (1997).

Conclusion: The evaporated HDI has a high acute inhalation toxicity to rats.

3.3 Acute Dermal Toxicity

The dermal LD_{50} following a 24-hour application of the test material to the skin is approximately 599 mg/kg bw in rabbits (Smyth 1969; Union Carbide Co. 1964). All deaths occurred within 24 hours after dosing. No information available with regard to clinical signs and local effects.

Conclusion: The acute toxicity of HDI after dermal administration is moderate.

3.4 Skin Irritation

There is one study available which was conducted according to OECD guideline (Schreiber 1981). Immediately after patch removal all treated animals showed severe oedema and erythema (grade 4). 24 hours later there were induration and necrosis at the application site of all animals. No reversibility could be observed at the end of the post observation period of 8 days.

Conclusion: HDI is corrosive to the skin when tested according to the OECD Guideline 404 (Schreiber 1981).

3.5 Eye Irritation

There is one study available which was conducted according to OECD guideline (Schreiber 1981). The examination of the eyes showed already 1 hour after instillation severe effects in all animals with regard to cornea, iris and conjunctivae. All effects had a tendency to get worse during the 8 day post observation period. Sometimes the examination of the eyes was impossible due to swelling.

Conclusion: HDI is corrosive to the eye when tested according to the OECD Guideline 405 (Schreiber 1981).

3.6 Sensitization

3.6.1 Skin Sensitization

HDI was found to be positive in mice using ear swelling test (Gad 1986, Karol 1996, Thorne 1987) and the murine local lymph node assay (Hilton 1995). Using a guinea pig model (Buehler Test and Maximization Test according to OECD Guideline 406) several studies reported positive findings (Basketter 1996, Zissu 1998, Clemmensen 1984, Bayer 1983)

Conclusion: HDI was found to induce dermal sensitization in animals.

3.6.2 Respiratory Sensitization

Using a standard approach that included either three intradermal injections (one per day) or 5x3 hrs inhalation exposures, including one additional intradermal injections, followed by inhalation challenge with HDI, acetylcholine and conjugate by inhalation, the lung sensitization potential of HDI was examined in guinea pigs (Bayer 1996). This study provides clear evidence that HDI is a respiratory sensitizer in this guinea pig bioassay.

3.7 Repeated Dose Toxicity

In a 21-day inhalation study (Sangha 1984) rats were exposed up to 0.300 ppm (2.04 mg/m3) of HDI vapor. A sub-set of animals was allowed a two-week period for recovery. There were no mortality and no effects on body weight, feed consumption, clinical chemistry, hematology, urinalysis and gross pathology observed. Compound related ocular and nasal irritation were observed in animals exposed to HDI concentration ≥ 0.0175 ppm (≥ 0.119 mg/m³). At 0.005 ppm (0.034 mg/m³) and 0.0175 ppm (0.119 mg/m³) the changes were minimal to mild in severity, and were similar to the control even though the incidence was slightly higher in the 0.0175 ppm males. There was recovery at 0.0175 (0.119 mg/m³) and 0.15 ppm (1.02 mg/m³), but not at 0.3 ppm. The NOEL was 0.005 ppm (0.034 mg/m³).

A 90-day inhalation study (Shiotsuka 1988) was conducted with HDI . Rats were exposed to vapor concentrations of 0, 0.01, 0.04, and 0.14 ppm (0, 0.068, 0.272 and 0.952 mg/m³). There were no compound related effects on mortality, body weight, clinical chemistry, hematology, urinalysis, gross pathology or organ weights. The only compound-related findings were ocular irritation and histopathologic lesions of the anterior nasal cavity. Ocular irritation occurred in all HDI-treated animals. Hyperplasia and/or squamous metaplasia of the respiratory epithelium were the most important lesions in both sexes. Hyperkeratosis, mucus cell hyperplasia and inflammatory cell infiltration were also observed in the nasal cavity. These histopathological lesions were observed at all three concentrations. The concentration of 0.01 ppm (0.068 mg/m³) was considered to approximate a threshold for respiratory tract lesions, but a clear NOEL was not established for this study.

A combined reproductive/developmental/neurotoxicity study according to OECD Guideline 422 was conducted with HDI (Astroff 1999, 2000a). Rats were exposed, via whole body exposure, to either 0, 0.005, 0.053, or 0.299 ppm (0.034, 0.361 or 2.033 mg/m³) during a 14-day premating phase, up to a 14-day mating phase, and a 21-day gestation phase. Neurobehavioral testing (automated measures of activity and a functional observational battery) was conducted before exposure, after the premating phase, and before termination. Evidence of toxicity was demonstrated in the 0.299 ppm and to a lesser extent in the 0.053 ppm dose group. Microscopic alterations in the nasal cavity, primarily epithelial hyperplasia, squamous metaplasia, chronic-active inflammation, and more seriously, degeneration of the olfactory epithelium were observed at concentrations \geq 0.053 ppm (\geq 0.361 mg/m³). No histopathological effects were observed in the 0.005 ppm dose level. No effects on neurologic parameters (functional observation battery; assessment of motor and locomotor activity) were seen at any dose level. This means the the no-observed-effect-level (NOEL) for neurotoxicity was 0.299 ppm (2.033 mg/m³), too. Therefore the overall NOEL was 0.005 ppm (0.034 mg/m³).

A combined chronic toxicity/oncogenicity study (Shiotsuka 1989) with rats according to OECD Guideline 453 was conducted with HDI using concentrations of 0, 0.005, 0.025 and 0.164 ppm (0.034, 0.17 and 1.115 mg/m³) HDI vapor. The exposure regimen was 6 hours/day, 5days/week for one year (chronic toxicity assessment) or two years (toxicity and oncogenicity assessment). There

were no compound related effects on mortality, ophthalmology, clinical biochemistry, urinalysis and organ weights. Those effects determined to be compound related were transient ocular irritation in males, small but consistent decrease in body weight of females and slight anemia in females at 0.164 ppm (1.115 mg/m³). Compound-related non-neoplastic histopathologic changes in the nasal cavity and to a lesser extent the lungs were also observed. Nasal lesions in the interim (1-year) sacrifice were restricted to the nasal mucosa at all exposure concentrations. The following nasal lesions were observed: hyperkeratosis, hyperplasia of the squamous epithelium, chronic active inflammation, squamous metaplasia, mucus secretory cell or goblet cell hyperplasia, hyaline droplet degeneration and minimal degeneration of the olfactory epithelium. All of these lesions were also observed after two years of exposure. The most prominent lesion was degenerative changes in the olfactory epithelium (destruction of epithelial architecture, atrophy, focal erosion or ulceration) The changes in the olfactory epithelium were concentration-related in terms of incidence and severity, and displayed progression with duration of exposure. Lung lesions were epithelialization, interstitial pneumonia or macrophage accumulationin alveolar space in both sexes at mid- and high dose groups after two years of exposure. No clear concentration-response relationship was observed in the lungs. No evidence of compound-related oncogenicity was found. A maximum Tolerated Dose (MTD) was achieved at high dose based on decrease in body weight and slight anemia of females and microscopic changes in the nasal cavity of both sexes. Analysis of the results from the principal study revealed that compound-related effects were limited to histopathology in the nasal passages. Although some lesions were noted in the nasal tract of animals from all exposure groups, Foureman et al. (1994) concluded that the olfactory epithelial degeneration should be considered as the significant effect in this study, with a NOAEL of 0.005 ppm (0.034 mg/m^3) and a LOAEL of 0.025 ppm (0.17 mg/m³), because it followed a concentration-response relationship for both incidence and severity. The data for this lesion show its absence at the lowest concentration with parallel increases in both incidence and severity at the two highest concentrations. For the other lesions, including chronic inflammation, mucus cell hyperplasia, epithelial hyperplasia, hyaline droplet degeneration, and squamous metaplasia no concordance in incidence and severity was found. In response to an irritant, the character of lesions in the nasal tract such as squamous metaplasia, mucus cell hyperplasia, and hyaline droplet formation appears to be more adaptive than adverse.

Conclusion: The major conclusion from the available inhalation studies is that the respiratory tract is the target with HDI showing primarily upper respiratory tract lesions (nasal cavity). HDI does not pose a neurotoxic hazard. Life-time inhalation exposure to rats revealed a progression of non-neoplastic respiratory tract lesions, primarily to the nasal cavity, and represented the sequelae of non-specific irritation. Based on the presence of only reversible tissue responses to irritation at the low concentration of 0.005 ppm (0.034 mg/m^3) , this concentration was a NOAEL.

For respiratory sensitization see section 3.6.2.

3.8 Genotoxicity (Gene Mutation)

HDI was tested in two independent bacterial reverse mutation assays, using S. typhimurium tester strains in the presence and absence of metabolic activation (Andersen 1980, CMA data 1998a,

Wagner 2000). Both studies took the volatility of the test compound into account (vapor phase exposure). Some details (i.e. doses, positive control) of he study published in the open literature are missing but the recent study confirmed the result. HDI did not induce any mutagenic activity with any of the tester strains with and without metabolic activation. While the toxicity criteria for a valid test outlined in the protocol have not been met, the data generated, which includes acceptable positive control responses, do not indicate the presence of any mutagenic activity (CMA data 1998a, Wagner 2000).

HDI was also tested in the CHO/HPRT Mutation Assay (CMA data 1998b, Wagner 2000). HDI did not cause a positive response in the non-activated systems and S9-activated systems and was concluded to be negative. The criteria for a valid study (i.e. marked mutagenic effect in the positive control) were met

No further data are available with regard to the induction of gene mutation by HDI.

Conclusion: HDI showed no mutagenic activity in bacterial and in mammalian cell test systems.

3.9a Genotoxicity: (Cytogenicity) in Vitro

No data available

Conclusion: See Cytogenetic in Vivo (chapter 3.9b)

3.9b Genotoxicity (Cytogenicity) in Vivo

The clastogenic potential of HDI as measured by its ability to induce micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes in bone marrow following inhalation exposure (CMA data 1998c, Wagner 2000). Mice were once exposed to HDI vapors up to 1.5 ppm (10.2 mg/m³) for 6 hours. No animals died during the course of the study. Mice exposed to HDI at 0.15 ppm (1.02 mg/m³) had no apparent test substance effects. Mice exposed to 0.75 and 1.5 ppm (5.1 and 10.2 mg/m³) showed considerable weight losses. Reductions of 2 to 17% in the ratio of polychromatic erythrocytes to total erythrocytes were observed in the test substance-treated males relative to the air control group at 48 hour harvest. No significant increase in micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes in test substance-treated groups relative to the respective air control group was observed at 24, or 48 hours after exposure.

Conclusion: HDI showed no clastogenic activity in vivo.

3.10 Carcinogenicity

A combined chronic toxicity/oncogenicity study (Shiotsuka 1989) with rats according to OECD Guideline 453 was conducted. Male and female Fischer 344 rats were exposed to HDI using

concentrations of 0, 0.005, 0.025 and 0.164 ppm (0, 0.034, 0.17 and 1.152 mg/m³) HDI vapor. A Maximum Tolerated Dose (MTD) was established at the highest concentration and no compound-related oncogenicity was observed (for detailed reference, see chapter 3.7).

Conclusion: After life-time inhalation of HDI no carcinogenic potential in rats was observed.

3.11 Toxicity to Reproduction

To evaluate the potential for HDI administered via inhalation, to elicit reproductive, developmental, and neurotoxicological effects in the rat a screening test was conducted in accordance with the OECD Guideline 422 (Astroff 1999, 2000a). Rats were exposed to either 0, 0.005, 0.053, or 0.299 ppm (0, 0.034, 0.361 and 2.03 mg/m³) during 14-day premating phase, up to a 14-day mating phase, and a 21-day gestation phase. Evidence of microscopic alterations in the nasal cavity was demonstrated in the 0.299 ppm and to a lesser extent in the 0.053 ppm dose group. No effects on any reproductive or neurologic parameters or any effects on pup growth and development were observed at any dose level.

Conclusion: HDI has no effect on the reproduction (including neonatal development) in the rat after inhalation up to 0.299 ppm (2.03 mg/m^3). The overall NOEL was 0.005 ppm (0.034 mg/m^3).

3.12 Developmental Toxicity

A developmental toxicity study was conducted with HDI in the rat according to OECD Guideline 414 (Astroff 1999, 2000b). Inseminated rats were exposed to concentrations of 0, 0.005, 0.052, or 0.308 ppm (0, 0.034, 0.354 or 2.1 mg/m³) HDI via inhalation on days 0 through 19 of gestation No clinical signs and no changes in body weight gain during gestation were observed in dams. Test compound-related maternal effects were restricted to histopathological findings in the nasal cavity of the 0.308 and 0.052 ppm dose groups. No pathological alterations were noted in the larynx, trachea, or lungs in any dose group. No test compound-related effects were observed on any reproductive parameter, or any embryonic endpoints, including pre/post-implantation loss and no effects on fetal or placental weights were observed. No test compound-related fetal external, visceral, or skeletal findings were observed and there was no difference in the incidence of malformations between males and females.

Conclusion: Inhalation of HDI during the pregnancy of rats produced maternal effects (nasal turbinate histopathology) at concentrations ≥ 0.052 ppm (≥ 0.354 mg/m³). No developmental toxicity was observed up to 0.308 ppm (2.1 mg/m³).

3.13 Other Toxicological Endpoints

Sensory Irritation

Sensory irritation studies using laboratory animal models demonstrated evidence of sensory irritation but no evidence of pulmonary irritation to HDI. Sangha et al. (1981) reported a 3-hour RD50 (concentration required to reduce respiratory rate by 50%) of 1.17 mg/m³ (0.17 ppm) using Swiss Webster mice. A comparable study using male Fisher 344 rats (Sangha 1982) resulted in a 30-minute RD50 of 9.94 mg/m³ (1.42 ppm) The exposure of female Sprague Dawley rats resulted in a 3-hour RD50 of 11.83 mg/m³ (1.69 ppm)(Mobay 1987a). In a study to assess the effects of repeated exposures on respiratory rate, female Sprague Dawley rats were exposed 3 hours/day for 5 consecutive days to a mean concentration of 8.084 mg/m³ (1.17 ppm). The extent of daily decrease in respiratory rate of approximately 60%, relative to pre-exposure mean for day 1, showed that repeated exposures did not produce a cumulative effect on respiratory rate depression during daily exposures (Mobay 1987b).

Health Effects In Workers

Adverse health effects reported for humans are primarily related to the respiratory tract and to some extent to the exposed skin (ATSDR 1998; DFG 1996, 1998). The findings in exposed workers are consistent with the findings in laboratory animals. Sensitization (dermal sensitization, respiratory hypersensitivity) and irritation (chronic decrease in pulmonary function) predominate and crosssensitivity of humans to diisocyanates such as TDI and MDI have been described. However, a correlation between HDI-specific IgE or IgG and occupational asthma has not been firmly established. Small cohorts, lack of mechanistic information, and limited information on exposure in the human studies preclude establishment of a concentration-response relationship for pulmonary sensitization. A few human studies were identified that described health effects evaluations associated with measured or reconstructed exposure concentrations for HDI. Brorson et al. (1990) reported no adverse health effects following a single 7.5-hour exposure at concentrations of HDI ranging from 25 to 29 μ g/m³ (3.6 to 4.3 ppb). In a second report a matched case-control epidemiologic study of a production plant population presented information from workers exposed for approximately three years (Shepperly & Hathaway, 1991). Exposures were generally less than 34 μ g/m³ (5 ppb) with occasional excursions in the range of 69 -137 μ g/m³ (10-20 ppb). No significant difference in pulmonary function data was detected. A follow up study of this same population reported by DeWilde & Hathaway (1994) again found no statistically significant differences in pulmonary function data. The exposure concentration was estimated to be between 0.5 and 7 ppb (3.4 and 47.6 μ g/m³). The Alexandersson et al. (1987) study was determined not to be suitable for use in deriving a (L)(N)OAEL for workers, due to the fact that workers were simultaneously exposed to both the monomeric as well as the trimer forms of HDI.

Toxicokinetics

In animal studies the uptake of radiolabeled HDI into blood from the respiratory tract was immediate and increased linearly during exposure over a range of concentrations. In controlled studies in human volunteers, HDI (3.6 ppb for 7.5 h) appears to be rapidly absorbed via the respiratory tract and approximately up to 39% of the estimated inhaled dose is excreted in the urine which could be detected after acid hydrolysis as HDA (DFG 1996). No HDA was detectable in plasma. The relative amount excreted in feces and exhaled air or that proportion remaining bound to macromolecules and tissues have not been reported.

4. HAZARDS TO THE ENVIRONMENT

4.1 Aquatic Effects

The inherent property of HDI is to hydrolyse rapidly in an aquatic environment

Depending on the use of a solvent or not, the size of the drops when direct weight is used, and the speed of a magnetic stirrer or of ultrasonic if used, determine the quantitative proportion of the hydrolysis products HDA and polyurea. The problem with tests on HDI is the inhomogeneous distribution of the substance in the test medium water because of formation of droplets. With these droplets a "real" concentration of HDI in water is neither analytically determinable nor can a reproducible and thus reliable exposure concentration for test organisms be fixed. The pure substance HDI, as it is in the droplets, showed to be lethal to the aquatic species. The acute toxicity of HDA has to be classified as harmful and polyureas are, because of their molecular size, not bioavailable.

In the following the toxicity of the hydrolysis product(s) (see chap. 2.1.2) are reported for tests which have been conducted with specially layed down test conditions and which are thus reproducible tests.

The acute toxicity has been determined for fish with 96 h-LC₀ of ≥ 82.8 mg/l (Brachydanio rerio). The test result refers to concentration of the test substance which was calculated directly from analytically determined TOC-value. The test was conducted according to Council Directive 92/69/EEC C.1 (1992). A water accommodated fraction was prepared for testing by stirring the substance in water with Ultra turrax 60 sec/8000 rpm, 24 hours magnetic stirrer and filtration. Only one concentration was tested. The pH of the test solution was around 8 (Bayer AG 2000).

In a previous fish test, conducted according to UBA-Verfahrensvorschlag "Letale Wirkung beim Zebrabärbling" (1984), a 96 h-LC₀ of 22 mg/l and 96 h-LC₁₀₀ of 31 mg/l (Brachydanio rerio) was determined. In this test the test substance was prepared by stirring in water with Ultra turrax 60 sec/8000 rpm and waiting only for 1 hour (Bayer AG 1992). This time was regarded as too short for a complete hydrolysis of HDI because there were still oily droplets of undissolved HDI. Because of the short half-live of HDI, testing with the degradation product is required and has been conducted as seen in the first test. Therefore the second test has not been taken into account for the classification of HDI.

For acute toxicity of HDI to Daphnia magna a 48 h-EC₀ of ≥ 89.1 mg/l has been determined (92/69/EEC C.2 (1992)) proceeding in the same way as described in the first fish test above. The pH of the test solution was 7.8 (Bayer AG 2000).

In an algal growth inhibition test (92/69/EEC C.3 (1992)) of Scenedesmus subspicatus an 72 hEC₅₀ of >77.4 mg/l and a 72h-NOEC of 11.7 mg/l was observed. The proceeding for preparation of the test solution was in the same way as described in the first fish test above. However, from the stock solution a dilution series was prepared and tested. The pH of the test solution was between 7.9 and 8.2 at test start and between 9.8 and 10.2 at test end (Bayer AG 2000).

The effect of HDI on the respiration of activated sewage sludge has been tested, using a method comparable to 88/302/EEC. After 3 hours incubation an EC₅₀ of 842 mg/l was determined. In this test direct weight has been used (Bayer AG 2000).

The following endpoints on aquatic toxicologic tests are available for the pure hydrolysis product HDA (124-09-4):

Fish

Lepomis macrochirus 48 h-LC_{50} : 73.5 mg/l and 48h-NOEC > 56 mg/l

(no information on pH; OECD SIDS on HDA)

Pimephales promelas 96h-LC 50: 1825 mg/l

(pH: 8.0 - 8.5; E.I. du Pont, 1985b)

Daphnia

Daphnia magna 48 h-LC₅₀: 23.4 mg/l

(pH: 7.9 - 8.5; E.I. du Pont, 1985a)

Algae

Selenastrum capricornutum 96 h-EC₅₀: 14.8 mg/l

(pH of test solution was between 8.0 and 10.8; E.I. du Pont, 1993)

In the BUA-Report on HDI (1993) two tests are reported for HDA showing that non-neutralized and neutralized test media exhibit significant differences on the toxicity of HDA to the organisms:

Fish

Leuciscus idus	96 h-LC ₅₀ :	62.2 mg/l	non-neutralized
	96 h-LC ₅₀ :	>215.0 mg/l	ne utralized
Microorganisms			
Pseudomonas putida	20 h-EC ₀ :	37.5 mg/l	non-neutralized
	20 h-EC ₀ : 12	2,500 mg/l	neutralized

For the derivation of the PNECaqua the effect values found in the tests with HDI and its hydrolysis products are used. Algae were the most sensitive species. An 72h-EC50 of > 77.4 mg/l was found that is used as basic value for the PNECaqua. As only short-term tests are available, an assessment factor of 1000 is used.

 $PNECaqua = 77.4 \text{ mg/l} / 1000 = 77.4 \mu g/l$

In addition, a PNECaqua for the pure hydrolysis product HDA is derived. The lowest available effect value is the 96h-EC₅₀ of 14.8 mg/l for green algae. The pH at the concentration 25 mg/l was 9.9 at test start and 8.7 at test end. Therefore, it cannot be excluded that effects may also be caused by pH. However, at the next lowest concentration of 10 mg/l, where the alga growth was almost comparable to the control, the pH was between 9.5 and 9.7. At the next higher concentration of 25 mg/l nearly no growth could be observed at a pH between 8.1 and 10.2. Therefore, it can be assumed that the effect value of 14.8 mg/l is caused by intrinsic properties of the test substance and

not by pH and this value is used as basic value for the PNEC derivation. As only short-term tests are available an assessment factor of 1000 is used.

 $PNECaqua = 14.8 \text{ mg/l} / 1000 = 14.8 \mu g/l$

As it is unclear which hydrolysis products are formed to which extent under environmental conditions, it can be assumed as a worst case that all HDI released via the wastewater is hydrolysed completely to HDA before reaching surface waters. This HDA concentrations has then to be compared with the PNECaqua for HDA.

4.2 Terrestrial Effects

There are no data available.

4.3 Other Environmental Effects

There are no data available.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusions

Production and processing:

The world production capacity of HDI amounts to about 110,000 t/a, thereof about 49,000 t/a are produced in the USA (2 producers), about 11,000 t/a in Japan (3 producers), and about 50,000 t/a in Western Europe (3 producers). HDI is not used as the monomer but industrially processed to homopolymers and to higher molecular weight adducts. These products are mainly used in surface coatings. At Bayer production and processing of HDI takes place in closed systems. There is no emission of HDI during normal operation while producing and processing HDI.

Environmental behaviour:

Hydrolysation of HDI was 90 % after a reaction period of 30 min in water at 20 °C. Hydrolysis products are hexamethylene diamine (HDA) and polyurea. Biodegradation tests on HDA show the substance to be at least inherently biodegradable. Polyurea is more or less inert and because of its molecular size not bioavailable. The favourite compartment for HDA **i** water as suggested by the high water solubility. Mackay level I distribution for HDA is not applicable as this substance is protonated under environmental pH conditions. Due to the high solubility in water of HDA (800 g/l at 15.6 °C) and its log K_{ow} of 0.02 no bioaccumulation is expected. A calculation showed HDI to be indirectly photodegradable in air HDI with $t^1/2 = 48.4$ h.

As the inherent property of HDI is to hydrolyse rapidly in an aquatic environment the ecotoxicological tests were conducted with the hydrolysis product(s) under defined conditions. The acute toxicity has been determined for fish (Brachydanio rerio) with a 96 hLC₀ of >= 82.8 mg/l, for Daphnia magna with a 48 h-EC₀ of >= 89.1 mg/l, and for algae (Scenedesmus subspicatus) with a 72 hEC₅₀ of >77.4 mg/l and a 72h-NOEC of 11.7 mg/l. A PNECaqua of 77.4 µg/l is derived from the EC₅₀ for algae using an assessment factor of 1000. In addition a PNECaqua of 14.8 µg/l is derived from the pure hydrolysis product HDA.

As it is unclear which hydrolysis products are formed to which extent under environmental conditions, it can be assumed as a worst case that all HDI released via the wastewater is hydrolysed completely to HDA before reaching surface waters. This HDA concentrations has then to be compared with the PNECaqua for HDA.

Human health:

HDI has acute effects: LD50, rat (oral): 746 – 959 mg/kg bw, LC50 rat (inhalation): 124 mg/m³/4h, LD50, rabbit (dermal): 599 mg/kg

HDI is corrosive to the skin and the eye.

HDI was found to induce dermal and respiratory sensitization in animals.

Inhalation studies with repeated exposures to HDI vapor show that the respiratory tract is the target showing primarily upper respiratory tract lesions (nasal cavity). did not show a neurotoxic effect in a combined reproduction/developmental/neurotoxicity study. Life-time inhalation exposure to rats revealed a progression of non-neoplastic respiratory tract lesions, primarily to the nasal cavity, and represented the sequelae of non-specific irritation. Based on the presence of only reversible tissue responses to irritation at the low concentration of 0.005 ppm (0.034 mg/m³), this concentration was a NOAEL. No carcinogenic potential in rats was observed after life-time inhalation.

HDI showed no mutagenic activity in bacterial and in mammalian cell test systems.

HDI showed no clastogenic activity in vivo.

HDI has no effect on the reproduction (including neonatal development) in the rat after inhalation up to 0.299 ppm (2.03 mg/m^3). The overall NOEL was 0.005 ppm (0.034 mg/m^3).

Inhalation of HDI during the pregnancy of rats produced maternal effects (nasal turbinate histopathology) at concentrations ≥ 0.050 ppm (≥ 0.354 mg/m³). No developmental toxicity was observed up to 0.308 ppm (2.1 mg/m³).

5.2 Recommendations

Concerning environment, the substance is currently of low priority for further work.

Concerning human health: The chemical is an irritant and a respiratory sensitizer without a known threshold. There is need for further work (exposure assessment) in situations where there are dispersive uses (e.g. car lacquers). SIAM was informed that exposure is adequately controlled during manufacture (at 8 sites) and in industrial processes.

6. REFERENCES

Alexandersson, R. et al., Arch. Environ. Health 42, 367-373 (1987)

Andersen, M. et al., Scand. J. Work Environ. Health 6, 221-226 (1980)

ATSDR's Toxicological Profile, August 1998

Astroff, A.B. et al., Reproductive Toxicology 14, 135-146 (2000a)

Astroff, A.B. et al., Teratology 62, 205-213, (2000b)

Astroff, A.B.: unpublished report of CMA, Study Number 98-642-PI, June 4, 1999

Basketter, D.A. & Gerberick, G.F., Contact Dermatitis 35, 146-151 (1996)

Bayer AG, Desmodur H, Toxikologische Untersuchungen (Bericht Nr. 2146; unpublished). Bayer AG, Institut fuer Toxikologie, Wuppertal (1970)

Bayer AG, Hexamethylen-1,6-diisocyanat (HDI), Untersuchungen zur sensibilisierenden Wirkung an der Meerschweinchenhaut (Bericht Nr. 11703; unpublished). Bayer AG, Institut fuer Toxikologie, Wuppertal (1983).

Bayer AG data, Report No. 25460, September 1996

Bayer AG data, Report No. 25999, 19 February 1997

Bayer AG, data 861 A/99 (2000)

Bayer AG, data 49 A/88 (1992)

Bayer AG, Safety Data Sheet 2000

Brorson, T. et al., Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health 62, 385-389 (1990); Cited in: Toxikologisch arbeitsmedizinische Begründung von MAK-Werten, DFG 1996, incl. Amendment (1998)

Bunge, W. et al., Z. Arbeitsmed., Arbeitsschutz, Prophylaxe 4, 5-51 (1976)

Clemmensen, S., Drug Chem. Toxicol. 7, 527-540 (1984)

Chemical Manufactures Association (CMA) data; Laboratory Study Number G98AF76.502602, September 9, 1998a

Chemical Manufactures Association (CMA) data; Laboratory Study Number G98AF76.782602, September 22, 1998b

Chemical Manufactures Association (CMA) data; Sponsor Project Number HDI-1.0-MAB-MUTA, September 29, 1998c

CITI, Biodegradation and bioaccumulation data of existing chemicals based on the CSCL Japan (1992)

DeWilde, A. & Hathaway, J.A., Follow-up evaluation of pulmonary function of tolonates workers at Freeport, Texas Plant (1994); Cited in : ATSDR's Toxicological Profile, August 1998

DFG, Hexamethylendiisocyanat. In: Henschler, D. (ed.), Analytische Methoden zur Pruefung gesundheitsschaedlicher Arbeitsstoffe, Bd. 1 Luftanalysen. VCH, Weinheim, 1 - 14 (1988)

E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., Haskell Laboratory for Toxicology and Industrial Medicine, unpublished report No. 303-85, 10.6. 1985

E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., Haskell Laboratory for Toxicology and Industrial Medicine, unpublished report No. 439-85, 3.10.1985

E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., Haskell Laboratory for Toxicology and Industrial Medicine, unpublished report No. 167-93, 30.03.1993

Foureman, G.L. et al., Inhalation Toxicology 6 (suppl.), 341-355 (1994)

Gad, S.C. et al., Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 84, 93-114 (1986)

Hilton, J. et al., Toxicology Methods 5, 51-60 (1995)

Institut Kuhlmann, unpublished report 2-113-257/89, 08.05.1989

Karol, M.H. & Kramarik, J.A., Toxicology Letters 89, 139-146 (1996)

Lomonova, G.V. & Frolova, I.N. Gig. Tr. Prof. Zabol. 12, 40-44 (1968); Cited in: NIOSH, Occupational exposure to diisocyanates (Pub. No. 78-215). National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, Ohio (1978)

Mobay Corporation data, Report No. 857, June 11, 1987a

Mobay Corporation data, Report No. 930, August 26, 1987b

OECD SIDS Hexamethylene diamine

Sangha, G.K., 21-day inhalation toxicity study with HDI (Study No. 80-141-01, Report No. 469). Mobay Chemical Corp., Stilwell Kansas (1984)

Sangha, G.K., Sensory irritation study with HDI in rats (Study No. 80-141-04, Report No. 313). Mobay Chemical Corp., Stilwell Kansas (1982)

Schreiber, G., Bayer AG data, 2. April 1981

Shepperly, D. & Hathaway, J.A., Evaluation of pulmonary function of HDI exposed workers at Freeport, Texas Plant (1991);); Cited in : ATSDR's Toxicological Profile, August 1998

Shiotsuka, R.N., 90-day inhalation toxicity study with 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate (HDI) in rats (Study No. 81-141-01, Report No. 1095). Mobay Chemical Corp., Stilwell, Kansas (1988)

Shiotsuka, R.N., Chronic inhalation toxicity study with 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate (HDI) in rats (Study No. 83-241-01, Report No. 1157). Mobay Chemical Corp., Stilwell, Kansas (1989)

Smyth Jr., H.F. et al., Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 30, 470-476 (1969)

Sopac, E.D. & Boltromejuk, L.P., Gig. Sanit. 7, 10 -13 (1974)

Thorne P.S. et al., Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol 87, 155-165 (1987)

Toxikologisch-arbeitsmedizinische Begründung von MAK-Werten, DFG 1996, incl. Amendment (1998)

Union Carbide Co., Range finding tests on 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate (HMDI; Report-No. 27-91; NTIA/OTS 0515600; Doc I.D. 86-870001438; Date Received 03.08.1987). Union Carbide Corporation (1964)

Wagner, V.O. et al., Toxicological Sciences 55, 376-382 (2000)

Woolrich, P.F., Monoisocyanates, disocyanates and polyisocyanates – e engineering, medical control and toxicologic considerations (Technical Bulletin No. 106). The Upjohn Co, Kalamazoo, Mich., 21 pp. (1973); Cited in : NIOSH, Occupational exposure to diisocyanates (Pub. No. 78-215). National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, Ohio (1978)

Zahn, R. & Wellens, H., Z. Wasser Abwasser Forsch. 13, 1-7 (1980)

Zissu, D.. et al., Contact Dermatitis 39, 248-251 (1998)

SIDS Dossier including Robust Study Summaries

Existing Chemical CAS No. EINECS Name EC No. Molecular Weight Molecular Formula	: : :	ID: 822-06-0 822-06-0 hexamethylene diisocyanate 212-485-8 168.2 C8H12N2O2
Producer related part Company Creation date	:	Bayer AG 12.08.1994
Substance related part Company Creation date		Bayer AG 12.08.1994
Status Memo	:	
Printing date Revision date Date of last update	:	27.02.2002 22.05.1995 13.12.2001
Number of pages	:	1
Chapter (profile) Reliability (profile) Flags (profile)	::	

OECD SIDS

1. General Information

ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002

1.0.1 APPLICANT AND COMPANY INFORMATION

1.0.2 LOCATION OF PRODUCTION SITE, IMPORTER OR FORMULATOR

- 1.0.3 IDENTITY OF RECIPIENTS
- 1.0.4 DETAILS ON CATEGORY/TEMPLATE

1.1.0 SUBSTANCE IDENTIFICATION

1.1.1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION

Purity type Substance type Physical status Purity Colour Odour	: organic : liquid : = 98 % w/w :
Remark Flag 23.11.2000	as fine chemical, Aldrich Chemical GmbHCritical study for SIDS endpoint
Purity type Substance type Physical status Purity Colour Odour	: : organic : liquid : >= 99.5 % w/w :
Remark Flag 23.11.2000	: Bayer AG : Critical study for SIDS endpoint

1.1.2 SPECTRA

1.2 SYNONYMS AND TRADENAMES

1,6-Hexamethylendiisocyanat

Flag

- : Critical study for SIDS endpoint
- 1,6-Hexylendiisocyanat
- Flag

: Critical study for SIDS endpoint

UNEP PUBLICATIONS

OECD SIDS

1. General Information

ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002

Flad	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
Flag		
HDI		
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
Hexamethylen-1,6-diiso	cyanat	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
Hexamethylendiisocyan	nat	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
Hexane, 1,6-diisocyanat	o- (CA-Index name)	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
Hexylendiisocyanat		
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
.3 IMPURITIES		
Purity CAS-No EC-No EINECS-Name Molecular formula Value	. < .5 % w/w	
Remark Flag 04.06.1998	 contained solvent residues and a minor volume of 6-chloro-1-iso-cyanatohexane Critical study for SIDS endpoint 	
.4 ADDITIVES		
.5 TOTAL QUANTITY		
Quantity	: 10000 - 50000 tonnes produced in 1993	
Flag 23.11.2000	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
Quantity	: 10000 - 50000 tonnes produced in 1997	
Quantity		

OECD SIDS	HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCYANATE
1. General Information	ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002
Quantity	: 10000 - 50000 tonnes produced in 2000
Flag 23.11.2000	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint
1.6.1 LABELLING	
Labelling	: as in Directive 67/548/EEC
Specific limits	:
Symbols	: T, , ,
Nota R-Phrases	 , , (23) Toxic by inhalation (36/37/38) Irritating to eyes, respiratory sys tem and skin (42/43) May cause sensitization by inhalation and skin contact
S-Phrases	 (26) In case of contact with eyes, rinse immediately with plenty of water and seek medical advice (28) After contact with skin, wash immediately with plenty of plenty of water and soap (38) In case of insufficient ventilation, wear suitable respiratory equipment (45) In case of accident or if you feel unwell, seek medical advice immediately (show the label where possible)
Flag 29.03.2001	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint
1.6.2 CLASSIFICATION	
Classified	: as in Directive 67/548/EEC
Class of danger R-Phrases	: toxic : (23) Toxic by inhalation
	(36/37/38) Irritating to eyes, respiratory system and skin
Specific limits	(42/43) May cause sensitization by inhalation and skin contact
Specific lifting	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint
1.6.3 PACKAGING	
1.7 USE PATTERN	
	: type : Non dispersive use
1.7 USE PATTERN Type of use	
1.7 USE PATTERN Type of use Category Flag	: Non dispersive use
1.7 USE PATTERN Type of use Category Flag 23.06.1998 Type of use	 Non dispersive use Critical study for SIDS endpoint industrial
1.7 USE PATTERN Type of use Category Flag 23.06.1998 Type of use Category	 Non dispersive use Critical study for SIDS endpoint industrial Chemical industry: used in synthesis

OECD SIDS 1. General Information	HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCYANAT	
		2-06-0 2.02.2002
0		
Category	: Intermediates	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
1.7.1 DETAILED USE PAT	TERN	
1.7.2 METHODS OF MANU	JFACTURE	
1.8 REGULATORY MEA	SURES	
1.8.1 OCCUPATIONAL EX	POSURE LIMIT VALUES	
Type of limit Limit value Short term exposure limit	: other: MAK (TRGS 900, DE) : .005 ml/m3 value	
Limit value Time schedule Frequency	: .005 ml/m3 : 15 minute(s) : times	
Remark Flag 28.02.2001	 Limit value: 0.005 ml/m3 = 0.035 mg/m3 Critical study for SIDS endpoint 	(
Type of limit Limit value	: other: TLV-TWA (ACGIH, US) : .005 ml/m3	
Remark Flag 28.02 <i>2</i> 001	A short term exposure limit value is not established.Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(
1.8.2 ACCEPTABLE RESI	DUESLEVELS	
1.8.3 WATER POLLUTION		
Classified by Labelled by	other: Bayer AG, self classification	
Class of danger	1 (weakly water polluting)	
Flag 16.01.2001	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
1.8.4 MAJOR ACCIDENT	HAZARDS	
Legislation Substance listed No. in Seveso directive	: Stoerfallverordnung (DE) : yes :	

	HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCYANATE
1. General Information	ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002
Remark	: genannt in Anhang II Nr. 4c; III Teil 2 - Kat. 2; IV Kat. 2 (giftige Stoffe)
Flag 23.06.1998	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint
1.8.5 AIR POLLUTION	
Classified by Labelled by Number Class of danger	 TA-Luft (DE) other: Bayer AG 3.1.7 (organic substances) I
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint
1.8.6 LISTINGS E.G. CHEM	NICAL INVENTORIES
1.9.1 DEGRADATION/TRA	ANSFORMATION PRODUCTS
1.9.2 COMPONENTS	
1.10 SOURCE OF EXPOS	URE
1.11 ADDITIONAL REMAN	RKS
1.12 LAST LITERATURE S	SEARCH
Type of search Chapters covered Date of search	: Internal and External :
Chapters covered	
Chapters covered Date of search Remark Flag	: Internal and External : : : 09/1999
Chapters covered Date of search Remark Flag 23.11.2000	: Internal and External : : : 09/1999
Chapters covered Date of search Remark Flag 23.11.2000 1.13 REVIEWS	 Internal and External 09/1999 Critical study for SIDS endpoint
Chapters covered Date of search Remark Flag 23.11.2000 1.13 REVIEWS Memo Flag	 Internal and External 09/1999 Critical study for SIDS endpoint BUA Report No. 112 (Hexamethylenediisocyanate), VCH, April 1993
Chapters covered Date of search Remark Flag 23.11.2000 1.13 REVIEWS Memo Flag 23.11.2000	 Internal and External 09/1999 Critical study for SIDS endpoint BUA Report No. 112 (Hexamethylenediisocyanate), VCH, April 1993 Critical study for SIDS endpoint

DECD SIDS	HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCYANATE	
I. General Information	ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002	
Memo	: IUCLID Dataset "Hexamethylenediamine" (ECB 2000)	
Flag 23.11.2000	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	

OECD SIDS

2. Physico-Chemical Data

ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002

2.1 MELTING POINT		
Value Decomposition	: = -67 °C : yes, at °C	
Flag 27.03.2001	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(3
2.2 BOILING POINT		
Value	: = 255 °C at 1013 hPa	
Flag 23.11.2000	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(4
2.3 DENSITY		
Type Value	: density : = 1.04 g/cm ³ at 20 °C	
Flag 23.11.2000	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(4
Туре	: density	
Value Method	: = 1.05 g/cm ³ at 25 °C : other: DIN 53217/2	
Year	:	
GLP	:	
Test substance	:	
Flag 29.03.2001	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(3
2.3.1 GRANULOMETR	Y	
2.4 VAPOUR PRESS	URE	
Value	: = .007 hPa at 20 °C	
Flag 23.11.2000	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(5) (6
2.5 PARTITION COEF	FICIENT	
Remark	: not determinable (hydrolysis)	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	

2. Physico-Chemical Data

ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002

2.6.1 SOLUBILITY IN DIFFERENT MEDIA

Solubility in Value pH value concentration Temperature effects Examine different pol. pKa Description Stable	Water at °C at 25	°C
Stable		
Remark Flag		terminable (hydroylsis) al study for SIDS endpoint

2.6.2 SURFACE TENSION

2.7 FLASH POINT

Value Type Method	: = 130 °C : : other: DIN 51758
Year GLP Test substance	conter: DIN 51758
Flag 23.11.2000	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint

2.8 AUTO FLAMMABILITY

2.9 FLAMMABILITY

Result Method Year GLP Test substance	other: Ignition temperature: ca. 460 °C other: DIN 51794	
Flag 27.03.2001	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
2.10 EXPLOSIVE PROPE	RTIES	

Remark	: explosive limits: lower: 0.9 % by vol. upper: 9.5 % by vol.	
Flag 23.11.2000	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(3)

UNEP PUBLICATIONS

(3)

(7) (8) (6)

HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCYANATE

2. Physico-Chemical Data

ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002

2.11 OXIDIZING PROPERTIES

2.12 DISSOCIATION CONSTANT

- 2.13 VISCOSITY
- 2.14 ADDITIONAL REMARKS

3. Environmental Fate and Pathways

ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002

3.1.1 PHOTODEGRADATION

Type Light source Light spectrum Relative intensity Deg. product Method Year GLP Test substance	other: air, indirect photolysis nm based on intensity of sunlight other (calculated): according to Atkinson (AOPWIN 1994)	
Remark	 calculated half-life is based on a mean OH radical concentration of 5*10E5 molecule/cm3, 12-h day under the conditions of Western Europe 	
Result	: Calculation half life: t1/2 = 48.4 h k(OH): 7.95 E-12 cm3/molecule*s	
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction accepted calculation method	
Flag 29.03.2001	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(9)
Туре	: other: stability in air	
Light source Light spectrum	: : nm	
Relative intensity	: based on intensity of sunlight	
Remark	: Besides indirect photolysis, HDI in air will also be affected by air humidity. HDI is hydrolyzed to hexamethylenediamine (see also 3.8)	
Flag 27.03.2001	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	

3.1.2 STABILITY IN WATER

Type t1/2 pH4 t1/2 pH7 t1/2 pH9 Deg. product Method Year GLP Test substance	abiotic at °C at °C at °C t other
Result	: Hydrolysis of HDI at different temperatures and concentrations:
	Water HDI temperature initial conc. reaction period reduction (degree C) (mg/I) (min.) of HDI (%)
	20 200 5 50 20 200 30 90
	20 200 30 90 20 2 10 50
	UNEP PUBLICATIONS

DECD SIDS 3. Environmental Fate	and Pathwave	HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCY	
	anu Fatilways	Id 822-06 Date 27.02.2	
Reliability Flag 27.03.2001	4 200 25	90 50 90 nt	(10)
3.1.3 STABILITY IN SOI	L		
3.2.1 MONITORING DA	ТА		
Remark	: Due to the rapid hydrolysis (se in the environment is not to be	e 3.1.2) an occurence of HDI expected.	
Flag 27.03.2001	: Critical study for SIDS endpoir		
3.2.2 FIELD STUDIES			
3.3.1 TRANSPORT BE	WEEN ENVIRONMENTAL COMPART	MENTS	
Remark	: Because of reaction with wate determinable	, Henry 's constant is not	
Flag 23.11.2000	: Critical study for SIDS endpoir	ıt	
3.3.2 DISTRIBUTION			
Remark Flag 27.03.2001	not determinable (hydrolysis)Critical study for SIDS endpoir	nt	
3.4 MODE OF DEGRA	ADATION IN ACTUAL USE		
3.5 BIODEGRADATIC	N		
Type Inoculum	: aerobic : activated sludge, domestic		
Concentration	: 100 mg/l related to Test substa related to	ance	
Contact time			
Degradation Result	: 42 (±) % after 28 day(s)		
Deg. product			
Method	 other: Biodegradability - Manor Council Directive 92/69 EEC N 	neric Respiratory Test Method accordii lethod C.4-D	ng to
Year GLP	: 2000		

	e and Pathways	Ы	822-06-0
			27.02.2002
Remark Reliability Flag 27.03.2001	 Inoculum: 30 mg ss/l. Test sub weighing. Test substance hydresing in the substance in the substance	rolyzes (see also 3.8)	(1
3.6 BOD5, COD OR	BOD5/COD RATIO		
3.7 BIOACCUMULA	TION		
Remark Flag 27.03.2001	not determinable (hydrolysis)Critical study for SIDS endpoir	nt	
3.8 ADDITIONAL RE	MARKS		
Flag 29.03.2001	 Several tests on biodegradation have been conducted with, as least inconsistent results. The for understanding and assess Fact is, as Sopac & Boltromeju detail, that three main findings 1. HDI is not soluble in the low another solvent. It forms oily du 2. The diisocyanate ends of HI amine and CO2. 3. The diisocyanate ends of HI end of an already hydrolysed (oligo- and than polyurea. Depending on the use of a solved drops when direct weight is us magnetic stirrer or of ultrasonia quantitative proportion of the hand Polyurea. The problems with tests on HI distribution of the substance in because of forming droplets. V concentration of HDI in water is determinable nor can a reprod exposure concentration for tests The pure substance HDI, as it be lethal to the aquatic species has to be classified only as ha are, because of their molecula Critical study for SIDS endpoint 	it seems, contradictory or at following will give support ing test results. uk /1974) have reported in a determin the results: wmg/l range in water without roplets in water. DI react with water forming th DI can also react with an amin former HDI-) molecule, formin went or not, the size of the sed, and the speed of a c if used, determin the ydrolysation products HDA DI is the inhomogeneous in the test medium water With these droplets a "real" s neither analytically lucible und thus reliable t individuums be fixed. is in the droplets, showed to s. The acute toxicity of HDA rmful and polyureas r size, not bioavailable.	e

4. Ecotoxicity	HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCYAN Id 822-06-0	
	Date 27.02.2002	
	Date 27.02.2002	
4.1 ACUTE/PROLONG	ED TOXICITY TO FISH	
4.1 ACUTE/FROLONG		
Туре	: static	
Species	: Brachydanio rerio (Fish, fresh water)	
Exposure period	: 96 hour(s)	
Unit	: mg/l	
LC0	: >= 82.8	
Limit test Analytical monitoring	: : no	
Method	 other: "Acute Toxicity for Fish" Council Directive 92/69/EEC C.1 (1992) 	
Year	: 2000	
GLP	: Ves	
Test substance	: other TS: 99.5 %	
Remark	: Because of the rapid hydrolysis a water accommodated	
	fraction (WAF) was prepared by direct weighing of 100 mg/l	
	HDI into water. The test preparation was first stirred with	
	an Ultra turrax for 60 sec/8000 rpm, then stirred for 24	
	hours with a magnetic stirrer and finally filtered. The	
	resulting solution was analyzed to its TOC content and was	
	the only test concentration used. The test concentration	
	obtained by this WAF method was determined to be 82.8 mg/l.	
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions	
Flag 27.03.2001	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(11
	TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES	(1
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T	TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES	(1)
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T	:	(1
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T Type Species	: : Daphnia magna (Crustacea)	
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T Type Species Exposure period	: : Daphnia magna (Crustacea) : 48 hour(s)	
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T Type Species Exposure period Unit	: : Daphnia magna (Crustacea) : 48 hour(s) : mg/l	
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T Type Species Exposure period Unit EC0	: Daphnia magna (Crustacea) 48 hour(s) mg/l >= 89.1	
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T Type Species Exposure period Unit	: Daphnia magna (Crustacea) 48 hour(s) mg/l >= 89.1 no	
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T Type Species Exposure period Unit EC0 Analytical monitoring	: Daphnia magna (Crustacea) 48 hour(s) mg/l >= 89.1	
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T Type Species Exposure period Unit EC0 Analytical monitoring Method	: Daphnia magna (Crustacea) 48 hour(s) mg/l >= 89.1 no other: "Acute Toxicity for Daphnia" Council Directive 92/69/EEC C.2 (1992)	()
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T Type Species Exposure period Unit EC0 Analytical monitoring Method Year	: Daphnia magna (Crustacea) 48 hour(s) mg/l >= 89.1 no other: "Acute Toxicity for Daphnia" Council Directive 92/69/EEC C.2 (1992) 2000	
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T Type Species Exposure period Unit EC0 Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP	: Daphnia magna (Crustacea) 48 hour(s) mg/l >= 89.1 no other: "Acute Toxicity for Daphnia" Council Directive 92/69/EEC C.2 (1992) 2000 yes other TS: 99.5 % Because of the rapid hydrolysis a water accommodated	
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T Type Species Exposure period Unit ECO Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance	 Daphnia magna (Crustacea) 48 hour(s) mg/l >= 89.1 no other: "Acute Toxicity for Daphnia" Council Directive 92/69/EEC C.2 (1992) 2000 yes other TS: 99.5 % Because of the rapid hydrolysis a water accommodated fraction (WAF) was prepared by direct weighing of 120 mg/l 	(i
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T Type Species Exposure period Unit ECO Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance	 Daphnia magna (Crustacea) 48 hour(s) mg/l >= 89.1 no other: "Acute Toxicity for Daphnia" Council Directive 92/69/EEC C.2 (1992) 2000 yes other TS: 99.5 % Because of the rapid hydrolysis a water accommodated fraction (WAF) was prepared by direct weighing of 120 mg/l HDI into water. The test preparation was first stirred with 	(i
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T Type Species Exposure period Unit ECO Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance	 Daphnia magna (Crustacea) 48 hour(s) mg/l >= 89.1 no other: "Acute Toxicity for Daphnia" Council Directive 92/69/EEC C.2 (1992) 2000 yes other TS: 99.5 % Because of the rapid hydrolysis a water accommodated fraction (WAF) was prepared by direct weighing of 120 mg/l HDI into water. The test preparation was first stirred with an Ultra turrax for 60 sec/8000 rpm, then stirred for 24 	
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T Type Species Exposure period Unit ECO Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance	 Daphnia magna (Crustacea) 48 hour(s) mg/l >= 89.1 no other: "Acute Toxicity for Daphnia" Council Directive 92/69/EEC C.2 (1992) 2000 yes other TS: 99.5 % Because of the rapid hydrolysis a water accommodated fraction (WAF) was prepared by direct weighing of 120 mg/l HDI into water. The test preparation was first stirred with an Ultra turrax for 60 sec/8000 rpm, then stirred for 24 hours with a magnetic stirrer and finally filtered. The 	
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T Type Species Exposure period Unit ECO Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance	 Daphnia magna (Crustacea) 48 hour(s) mg/l >= 89.1 no other: "Acute Toxicity for Daphnia" Council Directive 92/69/EEC C.2 (1992) 2000 yes other TS: 99.5 % Because of the rapid hydrolysis a water accommodated fraction (WAF) was prepared by direct weighing of 120 mg/l HDI into water. The test preparation was first stirred with an Ultra turrax for 60 sec/8000 rpm, then stirred for 24 hours with a magnetic stirrer and finally filtered. The resulting solution was analyzed to its TOC content and was 	
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T Type Species Exposure period Unit ECO Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance	 Daphnia magna (Crustacea) 48 hour(s) mg/l >= 89.1 no other: "Acute Toxicity for Daphnia" Council Directive 92/69/EEC C.2 (1992) 2000 yes other TS: 99.5 % Because of the rapid hydrolysis a water accommodated fraction (WAF) was prepared by direct weighing of 120 mg/l HDI into water. The test preparation was first stirred with an Ultra turrax for 60 sec/8000 rpm, then stirred for 24 hours with a magnetic stirrer and finally filtered. The resulting solution was analyzed to its TOC content and was the only test concentration used. The test concentration 	
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T Type Species Exposure period Unit ECO Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance Remark	 Daphnia magna (Crustacea) 48 hour(s) mg/l >= 89.1 no other: "Acute Toxicity for Daphnia" Council Directive 92/69/EEC C.2 (1992) 2000 yes other TS: 99.5 % Because of the rapid hydrolysis a water accommodated fraction (WAF) was prepared by direct weighing of 120 mg/l HDI into water. The test preparation was first stirred with an Ultra turrax for 60 sec/8000 rpm, then stirred for 24 hours with a magnetic stirrer and finally filtered. The resulting solution was analyzed to its TOC content and was the only test concentration used. The test concentration obtained by this WAF method was determined to be 89.1 mg/l. 	
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T Type Species Exposure period Unit ECO Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance Remark Reliability	 Daphnia magna (Crustacea) 48 hour(s) mg/l >= 89.1 no other: "Acute Toxicity for Daphnia" Council Directive 92/69/EEC C.2 (1992) 2000 yes other TS: 99.5 % Because of the rapid hydrolysis a water accommodated fraction (WAF) was prepared by direct weighing of 120 mg/l HDI into water. The test preparation was first stirred with an Ultra turrax for 60 sec/8000 rpm, then stirred for 24 hours with a magnetic stirrer and finally filtered. The resulting solution was analyzed to its TOC content and was the only test concentration used. The test concentration obtained by this WAF method was determined to be 89.1 mg/l. (2) valid with restrictions 	
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T Type Species Exposure period Unit ECO Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance Remark	 Daphnia magna (Crustacea) 48 hour(s) mg/l >= 89.1 no other: "Acute Toxicity for Daphnia" Council Directive 92/69/EEC C.2 (1992) 2000 yes other TS: 99.5 % Because of the rapid hydrolysis a water accommodated fraction (WAF) was prepared by direct weighing of 120 mg/l HDI into water. The test preparation was first stirred with an Ultra turrax for 60 sec/8000 rpm, then stirred for 24 hours with a magnetic stirrer and finally filtered. The resulting solution was analyzed to its TOC content and was the only test concentration used. The test concentration obtained by this WAF method was determined to be 89.1 mg/l. 	(11
4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY T Type Species Exposure period Unit ECO Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance Remark Reliability Flag 27.03.2001	 Daphnia magna (Crustacea) 48 hour(s) mg/l >= 89.1 no other: "Acute Toxicity for Daphnia" Council Directive 92/69/EEC C.2 (1992) 2000 yes other TS: 99.5 % Because of the rapid hydrolysis a water accommodated fraction (WAF) was prepared by direct weighing of 120 mg/l HDI into water. The test preparation was first stirred with an Ultra turrax for 60 sec/8000 rpm, then stirred for 24 hours with a magnetic stirrer and finally filtered. The resulting solution was analyzed to its TOC content and was the only test concentration used. The test concentration obtained by this WAF method was determined to be 89.1 mg/l. (2) valid with restrictions 	

OECD SIDS	HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCYANA	11L
4. Ecotoxicity	ld 822-06-0	
	Date 27.02.2002	
Endpoint	: growth rate	
Exposure period	: 72 hour(s)	
Unit	: mg/l	
EC50	: >77.4	
Limit test	:	
Analytical monitoring	: no	
Method	: other: "Algal growth inhibition test" Council Directive 92/69/EEC C.3 (1992)	
Year	: 2000	
GLP	: yes	
Test substance	: other TS: 99.5 %	
Remark Reliability Flag	 Because of the rapid hydrolysis a water accommodated fraction (WAF) was prepared by direct weighing of 125 mg/l HDI into water. The test preparation was first stirred with an Ultra turrax for 60 sec/8000 rpm, then stirred for 24 hours with a magnetic stirrer and finally filtered. The resulting solution was analyzed to its TOC content and was used as a stock solution for further dilution steps. The arithmetic mean of the analytical values showed 72 h-EC50 values for the growth rate as well as biomass to be > 77.4 mg/l. With the Dunett test the 72 h-NOEC and 72 h-LOEC for the growth rate was estimated to be 11.7 mg/l and 12.6 mg/l resp. (2) valid with restrictions Critical study for SIDS endpoint 	
27.03.2001		(11)
4.4 TOXICITY TO MICR	OORGANISMS E.G. BACTERIA	
Timo		
Type Species	: aquatic	
Species	: activated sludge	
Species Exposure period	: activated sludge : 3 hour(s)	
Species Exposure period Unit	: activated sludge : 3 hour(s) : mg/l	
Species Exposure period Unit EC50	: activated sludge : 3 hour(s) : mg/l : 842	
Species Exposure period Unit	 activated sludge 3 hour(s) mg/l 842 no other: Commission Directive 88/302/EEC; Official Journal of the EC L 133, 	
Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method	 activated sludge 3 hour(s) mg/l 842 no other: Commission Directive 88/302/EEC; Official Journal of the EC L 133, Part C: Biodegradability: Test for inhibition of oxygen consumption 	
Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method Year	 activated sludge 3 hour(s) mg/l 842 no other: Commission Directive 88/302/EEC; Official Journal of the EC L 133, Part C: Biodegradability: Test for inhibition of oxygen consumption 2000 	
Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method	 activated sludge 3 hour(s) mg/l 842 no other: Commission Directive 88/302/EEC; Official Journal of the EC L 133, Part C: Biodegradability: Test for inhibition of oxygen consumption 	
Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP	 activated sludge 3 hour(s) mg/l 842 no other: Commission Directive 88/302/EEC; Official Journal of the EC L 133, Part C: Biodegradability: Test for inhibition of oxygen consumption 2000 yes other TS: 99.5 % Because of the rapid hydrolysis the test substance was added to water in different weights, treated 3-4 hours by ultrasound and stirred overnight before testing. The test vessel with 1000 mg/l direct weight resulted in a 3 h-EC36. The 3 h-EC50 estimated by Probit analysis gave a value of 	
Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance Remark	 activated sludge 3 hour(s) mg/l 842 no other: Commission Directive 88/302/EEC; Official Journal of the EC L 133, Part C: Biodegradability: Test for inhibition of oxygen consumption 2000 yes other TS: 99.5 % Because of the rapid hydrolysis the test substance was added to water in different weights, treated 3-4 hours by ultrasound and stirred overnight before testing. The test vessel with 1000 mg/l direct weight resulted in a 3 h-EC36. The 3 h-EC50 estimated by Probit analysis gave a value of 842 mg/l. 	
Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance Remark Reliability	 activated sludge 3 hour(s) mg/l 842 no other: Commission Directive 88/302/EEC; Official Journal of the EC L 133, Part C: Biodegradability: Test for inhibition of oxygen consumption 2000 yes other TS: 99.5 % Because of the rapid hydrolysis the test substance was added to water in different weights, treated 3-4 hours by ultrasound and stirred overnight before testing. The test vessel with 1000 mg/l direct weight resulted in a 3 h-EC36. The 3 h-EC50 estimated by Probit analysis gave a value of 842 mg/l. (2) valid with restrictions 	
Species Exposure period Unit EC50 Analytical monitoring Method Year GLP Test substance Remark	 activated sludge 3 hour(s) mg/l 842 no other: Commission Directive 88/302/EEC; Official Journal of the EC L 133, Part C: Biodegradability: Test for inhibition of oxygen consumption 2000 yes other TS: 99.5 % Because of the rapid hydrolysis the test substance was added to water in different weights, treated 3-4 hours by ultrasound and stirred overnight before testing. The test vessel with 1000 mg/l direct weight resulted in a 3 h-EC36. The 3 h-EC50 estimated by Probit analysis gave a value of 842 mg/l. 	(11)

OECE	O SIDS	HEXAMETHYLENE	DIISOCYANATE
4. Ecc	otoxicity		822-06-0 27.02.2002
4.5.2	CHRONIC TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES		
4.6.1	TOXICITY TO SEDIMENT DWELLING ORGANISMS		
4.6.2	TOXICITY TO TERRESTRIAL PLANTS		
4.6.3	TOXICITY TO SOIL DWELLING ORGANISMS		
4.6.4	TOX. TO OTHER NON MAMM. TERR. SPECIES		
4.7	BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS MONITORING		
4.8	BIOTRANSFORMATION AND KINETICS		

4.9 ADDITIONAL REMARKS

5. Toxicity

ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002

5.0 TOXICOKINETICS, METABOLISM AND DISTRIBUTION

5.1.1 ACUTE ORAL TOXICITY

Туре	: LD50	
Value	= 746 mg/kg bw	
Species	: rat	
Strain		
Sex	: male	
Number of animals	. maic	
Vehicle	other: undiluted	
Doses	· Other. unuluted	
Method	 other: 4 dose levels; 14 day oberservation period 	
Year	: 1964	
GLP	: no	
Test substance	: other TS: no further information	
Remark	: NO. OF ANIMALS: 5/dose	
	ORIGINAL VALUE: 0.71 ml/kg (density: approx. 1.05 g/cm3 at	
	25 oC)	
	MORTALITY: 5/5 (2 ml/kg); 5/5 (1 ml/kg); all deaths occurred	
	within 4 hours after application; no deaths after 0.5 and	
	0.25 ml/kg	
	CLINICAL SIGNS: soon after dosing all animals appeared to be	
	extremly sluggish	
	BODY WEIGHT: 9/10 gained weight during the observation	
	period	
	GROSS EXAMINATION: congestion throughout the abdominal	
	viscera	
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
23.10.2000		(12) (13)
Timo		
Туре	: LD50	
Value	: = 959 mg/kg bw	
Species Strain	: rat	
Sex	: male	
Number of animals	: 	
Vehicle	: other: oil	
Doses	:	
Method	: other: 7 dose levels; 14 days oberservation period	
Year	: 1970	
GLP Toot out of an o	: no	
GLP Test substance	: no : other TS: chemical pure Desmodur H	
Test substance	 other TS: chemical pure Desmodur H NO. OF ANIMALS: 15/dose 	
Test substance	 other TS: chemical pure Desmodur H NO. OF ANIMALS: 15/dose ORIGINAL VALUE: 0.913 ml/kg (density: approx. 1.05 g/cm3 at 	
Test substance	 other TS: chemical pure Desmodur H NO. OF ANIMALS: 15/dose ORIGINAL VALUE: 0.913 ml/kg (density: approx. 1.05 g/cm3 at 25 oC) 	
Test substance	 other TS: chemical pure Desmodur H NO. OF ANIMALS: 15/dose ORIGINAL VALUE: 0.913 ml/kg (density: approx. 1.05 g/cm3 at 25 oC) MORTALITY: 15/15 (2 ml/kg); 14/15 (1.5 ml/kg);11/15 	
Test substance	 other TS: chemical pure Desmodur H NO. OF ANIMALS: 15/dose ORIGINAL VALUE: 0.913 ml/kg (density: approx. 1.05 g/cm3 at 25 oC) MORTALITY: 15/15 (2 ml/kg); 14/15 (1.5 ml/kg);11/15 (1 m l/kg); 5/15 (0.75 ml/kg); all deaths occurred within the 	
Test substance	 other TS: chemical pure Desmodur H NO. OF ANIMALS: 15/dose ORIGINAL VALUE: 0.913 ml/kg (density: approx. 1.05 g/cm3 at 25 oC) MORTALITY: 15/15 (2 ml/kg); 14/15 (1.5 ml/kg);11/15 (1 m l/kg); 5/15 (0.75 ml/kg); all deaths occurred within the 1 day; no deaths after 0.5; 0.25 and 0.1 ml/kg 	
Test substance	 other TS: chemical pure Desmodur H NO. OF ANIMALS: 15/dose ORIGINAL VALUE: 0.913 ml/kg (density: approx. 1.05 g/cm3 at 25 oC) MORTALITY: 15/15 (2 ml/kg); 14/15 (1.5 ml/kg);11/15 (1 m l/kg); 5/15 (0.75 ml/kg); all deaths occurred within the 1 day; no deaths after 0.5; 0.25 and 0.1 ml/kg CLINICAL SIGNS: soon (30 minutes- 2 hours after) after 	
Test substance	 other TS: chemical pure Desmodur H NO. OF ANIMALS: 15/dose ORIGINAL VALUE: 0.913 ml/kg (density: approx. 1.05 g/cm3 at 25 oC) MORTALITY: 15/15 (2 ml/kg); 14/15 (1.5 ml/kg);11/15 (1 m l/kg); 5/15 (0.75 ml/kg); all deaths occurred within the 1 day; no deaths after 0.5; 0.25 and 0.1 ml/kg CLINICAL SIGNS: soon (30 minutes - 2 hours after) after dosing all animals appeared to be extremly sluggish; 	
Test substance	 other TS: chemical pure Desmodur H NO. OF ANIMALS: 15/dose ORIGINAL VALUE: 0.913 ml/kg (density: approx. 1.05 g/cm3 at 25 oC) MORTALITY: 15/15 (2 ml/kg); 14/15 (1.5 ml/kg);11/15 (1 m l/kg); 5/15 (0.75 ml/kg); all deaths occurred within the 1 day; no deaths after 0.5; 0.25 and 0.1 ml/kg CLINICAL SIGNS: soon (30 minutes - 2 hours after) after dosing all animals appeared to be extremly sluggish; duration of clinical signs: max. 7 days 	
Test substance	 other TS: chemical pure Desmodur H NO. OF ANIMALS: 15/dose ORIGINAL VALUE: 0.913 ml/kg (density: approx. 1.05 g/cm3 at 25 oC) MORTALITY: 15/15 (2 ml/kg); 14/15 (1.5 ml/kg);11/15 (1 m l/kg); 5/15 (0.75 ml/kg); all deaths occurred within the 1 day; no deaths after 0.5; 0.25 and 0.1 ml/kg CLINICAL SIGNS: soon (30 minutes - 2 hours after) after dosing all animals appeared to be extremly sluggish; 	45

5. Toxicity	ld 822-06-0)
,	Date 27.02.20	
	GROSS EXAMINATION: no data	
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
23.10.2000		(1
5.1.2 ACUTE INHALATIO	DN TOXICITY	
Terre		
Type Value	: LC50	
	: .124 mg/l	
Species Strain	: rat	
Strain Sex	: male/female	
Sex Number of animals		
	· · · ·	
Vehicle Doses	: other: no	
	\cdot	
Exposure time Method	 4 hour(s) OECD Guide-line 403 "Acute Inhalation Toxicity" 	
Year	-	
GLP	: 1997 : yes	
Test substance	other TS: purity: 99.5 %	
Remark	: Nose-only exposure to vapour	
	NO. OF ANIMALS: 5/concentration/sex	
	Analytical monitoring of the vapour test atmosphere	
	CONCENTRATIONS: 0.055, 0.107, 0.120 or 0.151 mg/l	
	confidence interval (95%) = 0.111-0.140 mg/l	
	No gender specific susceptibility, therefore, males and	
	females were evaluated together for the LC50-calculation	
Result	: Concentration-dependent signs indicative for respiratory	
	tract irritation (maximum duration up to day 28); gross	
	necropsy revealed less collapses, dark-red lungs with serous	
	mucus in trachea, lung associated lymph nodes were enlarged	
Deliability	NO(A)EL: < 0.055 mg/l	
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	14
23.10.2000		(1
Туре	: other: sensory irritation study	
Value	:	
Species	: rat	
Strain	:	
Sex	:	
Number of animals	:	
Vehicle	:	
Doses	:	
Exposure time	: .5 hour(s)	
Method	: other	
Year	:	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	:	
Remark	: Concentrations between 0.00077 - 0.039 mg/l caused within	
NomurA	the first five minutes an inhibition of respiration in the	
	sensory irritation study; afterwards tolerance was	
	developed	
	The RD50 (50 % inhibition of respiration) was 0.00993 mg/l	
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions	

	HEXAMETHYLENE DIISO	
Toxicity	ld 822-0	
	Date 27.02	.2002
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
23.10.2000		(16
Туре	: other: sensory irritation study	
Value	:	
Species	rat	
Strain	:	
Sex	: female	
Number of animals	:	
Vehicle	:	
Doses	:	
Exposure time Method	: 3 hour(s)	
Year		
GLP	: : yes	
Test substance	other TS: purtiy: 100 %	
Result	: The RD50 for the last hour of a 3-hour exposure was 1.69 ppm	
	(0.0118 mg/l); the no-observable-effect-level was >= 0.10	
	ppm (0.0007 mg/l)	
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
09.04.2001		(17
		(17
09.04.2001		(17
09.04.2001 .1.3 ACUTE DERMAL Type	TOXICITY : LD50	(17
09.04.2001 .1.3 ACUTE DERMAL Type Value	TOXICITY : LD50 : = 599 mg/kg bw	(17
09.04.2001 1.3 ACUTE DERMAL Type Value Species	TOXICITY : LD50	(17
09.04.2001 1.3 ACUTE DERMAL Type Value Species Strain	TOXICITY : LD50 : = 599 mg/kg bw : rabbit :	(17
09.04.2001 1.3 ACUTE DERMAL Type Value Species Strain Sex	TOXICITY : LD50 : = 599 mg/kg bw	(17
09.04.2001 1.3 ACUTE DERMAL Type Value Species Strain Sex Number of animals	TOXICITY : LD50 : = 599 mg/kg bw : rabbit :	(17
09.04.2001 1.3 ACUTE DERMAL Type Value Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle	TOXICITY : LD50 : = 599 mg/kg bw : rabbit :	(17
09.04.2001 1.3 ACUTE DERMAL Type Value Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle Doses	TOXICITY : LD50 : = 599 mg/kg bw : rabbit : : male :	(17
09.04.2001 1.3 ACUTE DERMAL Type Value Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle	TOXICITY : LD50 : = 599 mg/kg bw : rabbit :	(17
09.04.2001 1.3 ACUTE DERMAL Type Value Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle Doses Method	TOXICITY : LD50 : = 599 mg/kg bw : rabbit : male : : : : other: 24-hour skin contact (occlusive); 14-day observation period	(17
09.04.2001 1.3 ACUTE DERMAL Type Value Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle Doses Method Year	TOXICITY : LD50 : = 599 mg/kg bw : rabbit : male : : : other: 24-hour skin contact (occlusive); 14-day observation period : 1964	(17
09.04.2001 1.3 ACUTE DERMAL Type Value Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle Doses Method Year GLP	TOXICITY : LD50 : = 599 mg/kg bw : rabbit : male : : : : : : : : : : : : :	(17
09.04.2001 Type Value Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle Doses Method Year GLP Test substance	TOXICITY : LD50 : = 599 mg/kg bw : rabbit : male : : : : : : : : : : : : :	(17
09.04.2001 Type Value Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle Doses Method Year GLP Test substance	 TOXICITY LD50 = 599 mg/kg bw rabbit male other: 24-hour skin contact (occlusive); 14-day observation period 1964 no other TS: no further information NO. OF ANIMALS: 4/dose ORIGINAL VALUE: 0.566 ml/kg (density: approx. 1.05 g/cm3 at 25 oC) 	(17
09.04.2001 Type Value Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle Doses Method Year GLP Test substance	 TOXICITY LD50 = 599 mg/kg bw rabbit male other: 24-hour skin contact (occlusive); 14-day observation period 1964 no other TS: no further information NO. OF ANIMALS: 4/dose ORIGINAL VALUE: 0.566 ml/kg (density: approx. 1.05 g/cm3 at 25 oC) MORTALITY: 3/4 (0.80 ml/kg); 1/4 (0.4 ml/kg); all deaths 	(17
09.04.2001 Type Value Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle Doses Method Year GLP Test substance	 TOXICITY LD50 = 599 mg/kg bw rabbit male other: 24-hour skin contact (occlusive); 14-day observation period 1964 no other TS: no further information NO. OF ANIMALS: 4/dose ORIGINAL VALUE: 0.566 ml/kg (density: approx. 1.05 g/cm3 at 25 oC) MORTALITY: 3/4 (0.80 ml/kg); 1/4 (0.4 ml/kg); all deaths occurred within 24 hours after application 	(17
09.04.2001 Type Value Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle Doses Method Year GLP Test substance	 TOXICITY LD50 = 599 mg/kg bw rabbit male other: 24-hour skin contact (occlusive); 14-day observation period 1964 no other TS: no further information NO. OF ANIMALS: 4/dose ORIGINAL VALUE: 0.566 ml/kg (density: approx. 1.05 g/cm3 at 25 oC) MORTALITY: 3/4 (0.80 ml/kg); 1/4 (0.4 ml/kg); all deaths occurred within 24 hours after application CLINICAL SIGNS: no data 	(17
09.04.2001 Type Value Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle Doses Method Year GLP Test substance	 TOXICITY LD50 = 599 mg/kg bw rabbit male other: 24-hour skin contact (occlusive); 14-day observation period 1964 no other TS: no further information NO. OF ANIMALS: 4/dose ORIGINAL VALUE: 0.566 ml/kg (density: approx. 1.05 g/cm3 at 25 oC) MORTALITY: 3/4 (0.80 ml/kg); 1/4 (0.4 ml/kg); all deaths occurred within 24 hours after application CLINICAL SIGNS: no data LOCAL EFFECTS: no data 	(17
09.04.2001 Type Value Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle Doses Method Year GLP Test substance	 TOXICITY LD50 = 599 mg/kg bw rabbit male other: 24-hour skin contact (occlusive); 14-day observation period 1964 no other TS: no further information NO. OF ANIMALS: 4/dose ORIGINAL VALUE: 0.566 ml/kg (density: approx. 1.05 g/cm3 at 25 oC) MORTALITY: 3/4 (0.80 ml/kg); 1/4 (0.4 ml/kg); all deaths occurred within 24 hours after application CLINICAL SIGNS: no data LOCAL EFFECTS: no data BODY WEIGHT: 3 of the survivors lost weight or gained at a 	(17
09.04.2001 1.3 ACUTE DERMAL Type Value Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle Doses Method Year GLP Test substance Remark	 TOXICITY LD50 = 599 mg/kg bw rabbit male other: 24-hour skin contact (occlusive); 14-day observation period 1964 no other TS: no further information NO. OF ANIMALS: 4/dose ORIGINAL VALUE: 0.566 ml/kg (density: approx. 1.05 g/cm3 at 25 oC) MORTALITY: 3/4 (0.80 ml/kg); 1/4 (0.4 ml/kg); all deaths occurred within 24 hours after application CLINICAL SIGNS: no data LOCAL EFFECTS: no data BODY WEIGHT: 3 of the survivors lost weight or gained at a subnormal rate 	(17
09.04.2001 Type Value Species Strain Sex Number of animals Vehicle Doses Method Year GLP Test substance	 TOXICITY LD50 = 599 mg/kg bw rabbit male other: 24-hour skin contact (occlusive); 14-day observation period 1964 no other TS: no further information NO. OF ANIMALS: 4/dose ORIGINAL VALUE: 0.566 ml/kg (density: approx. 1.05 g/cm3 at 25 oC) MORTALITY: 3/4 (0.80 ml/kg); 1/4 (0.4 ml/kg); all deaths occurred within 24 hours after application CLINICAL SIGNS: no data LOCAL EFFECTS: no data BODY WEIGHT: 3 of the survivors lost weight or gained at a 	(17

5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY, OTHER ROUTES

5. Toxicity

Id 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002

5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION

Species Concentration Exposure Exposure time Number of animals Vehicle PDII Result Classification Method Year GLP Test substance	rabbit Occlusive 4 hour(s) 6 corrosive OECD Guide-line 404 "Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion" no no data
Remark Reliability Flag 09.05.2001	 CONCENTRATION: undiluted 4 hours after patch removal: 6/6 showed an erythema (grade 4) and oedemda (grade 4); 24 hours after patch removal: 6/6 showed induration and necrois of the application site; irreversible within 8 days (2) valid with restrictions Critical study for SIDS endpoint

(18)

5.2.2 EYE IRRITATION

Species	: rabbit	
Concentration	:	
Dose	: 100 other: ul	
Exposure time	: .5 minute(s)	
Comment	: other: rinsing of the eyes	
Number of animals	: 6	
Vehicle	:	
Result	: corrosive	
Classification	:	
Method	: OECD Guide-line 405 "Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion"	
Year	:	
GLP	: no	
Test substance	: no data	
Remark	: CONCENTRATION: undiluted 1 hour to 8 days after instillation corneal opacity, irritation of the iris, conjunctival redness and chemosis were observed in all animals; examination of the eyes was difficult due to swelling	
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
09.05.2001		(18)
5.3 SENSITIZATION		

5.3

Туре	: Buehler T	est
Species	: guinea pig	9
Number of animals	: 20	

ECD SIDS	HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCY	ANATE
Toxicity	ld 822-06-	0
	Date 27.02.20	002
Vehicle	: no data	
Result	: sensitizing	
Classification	· Sensiuzing	
Method	OECD Guide-line 406 "Skin Sensitization"	
Year	: 1996	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: no data	
Remark	: induction: 10 %	
	challenge: 1 %	
	positive allergic reaction: 100 %	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
09.04.2001		(19
03.04.2001		(13
Туре	: Buehler Test	
Species	: guinea pig	
Number of animals	: 20	
Vehicle	: petrolatum	
Result	•	
Classification	: sensitizing	
Method	: OECD Guide-line 406 "Skin Sensitization"	
Year	: 1998	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: other TS: purity 99.0 %	
Remark	: induction: 1 %	
	challenge: 0.1 %	
	positive allergic reaction: 14/20	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
09.04.2001		(20
Timo	. Cuince nig movimization test	
Type Species	 Guinea pig maximization test guinea pig 	
-		
Number of animals	: 20	
Vehicle	: other: soy bean oil or a mixture of soy bean oil / 2-butanone 1:2	
Result	: sensitizing	
Classification		
Method	: OECD Guide-line 406 "Skin Sensitization"	
Year	: 1981	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: no data	
Remark	: induction: 1 % i.d., 10 % top	
	callenge: 0.3 %	
	positive allergic reaction: 18/20	
Flog		
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	104
09.04.2001		(21
Туре	: Guinea pig maximization test	
Species	: guinea pig	
Number of animals	: 17	
Vehicle	: petrolatum	
Result	: sensitizing	
Classification	:	
Method	other	
Year	: 1983	
GLP	: 1965 : NO	
Test substance		
LAST SUMSTANCA	: other TS: purity 99 %	

OECD SIDS	HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCYANATE	
5. Toxicity		ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002
Remark	: induction: 10 % i.d., undiluted i.d. challenge: 1 % and 0.3 %	
_	positive allergic reaction: 16/17	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(22)
09.04.2001		(22)
Туре	: Mouse ear swelling test	
Species	: mouse	
Number of animals	: 10	
Vehicle	: other: acetone	
Result	: sensitizing	
Classification Method	: : other	
Year	: 1986	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: no data	
Remark	: induction: 5 %	
	challenge: 0.5 %	
	positive reaction: 67 %	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
09.04.2001		(23)
Туре	: Mouse ear swelling test	
Species	: mouse	
Number of animals	: 6	
Vehicle Result	: other: acetone : sensitizing	
Classification	·	
Method	: other	
Year	: 1996	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: no data	
Remark	: induction: 0.03 - 250 ug	
_	challenge: 100 ug HDI	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(24
09.04.2001		(24
Туре	: Mouse ear swelling test	
Species	: mouse	
Number of animals	:	
Vehicle Result	: other: acetone	
Classification	: sensitizing	
Method	: other	
Year	: 1987	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	: other TS: purity > 98 %	
Remark	: NUMBER OF ANIMALS: 4-5	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
09.04.2001		(25)
Туре	: Mouse local lymphnode assay	
Species	: mouse	
Number of animals	: 4	

5. Toxicity	ld 8	322-06-0
	Date 2	7.02.2002
Vehicle		
Result	•	
	: sensitizing	
Classification	:	
Method	:	
Year	:	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	:	
Remark	: Vehicle: acetone: olive oil (4:1)	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(2.2)
23.10.2000		(26)
Туре	: Patch-Test	
Species	: human	
Number of animals	:	
Vehicle		
Result		
Classification		
	- - other	
Method	: other	
Year		
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	:	
Remark	: Positive reaction in an occupationally exposed worker who	
	suffered from contact dermatitis and had respiratory	
	symptoms	
Test substance	: 1 % HDI solved in petrolatum	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
23.10.2000		(27)
23.10.2000		(27)
Туре	: Patch-Test	
Species	: human	
Number of animals	:	
Vehicle	:	
Result	:	
Classification		
Method	· other	
Year		
	• • na data	
GLP	: no data	
Test substance	:	
Remark	: 6/6 workers that suffered from occupational contact	
	dermatitis reacted positive, while all of the 20 control	
	patients were negative	
Test substance	: 99 % pure HDI was diluted to 1 % in petrolatum	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
		(28) (29)
T	. Detab Test	
Type	: Patch-Test	
Species	: human	
Remark	: 0/92 emoloyers in a Swedish aircraft plant with present or	
	previous skin desease was reported to be positive with HDI	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
21.10.1998		(30)
21.10.1000		(30)
Туре	: other	
Species	: human	

DECD SIDS	HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCY	ANATE
5. Toxicity	ld 822-06-	
	Date 27.02.20	J <u>U</u> 2
Number of animals	:	
Vehicle		
Result		
Classification		
Method	: other	
Year		
GLP	no data	
Test substance	:	
Demark	. Otomological algine mainly to obtain a inches of the second second second second second second second second	
Remark	: Standard skin prick testing in healthy workers; none of the	
To at and atom as	20 tested workers reacted positive	
Test substance	: Albumin conjugated HDI	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(04)
		(31)
Time	, other	
Type Species	: other	
Species Number of animals	: human	
Number of animals	:	
Result		
Classification	:	
Method	. other	
Year	. Other	
GLP	no data	
Test substance	. no dala	
	·	
Remark	: Standard skin prick test in five healthy - sporadically to	
	isocyanates exposed - volunteers; none reacted positive	
Test substance	: Conjugated HDI, prepolymeric HDI (Desmodur)	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
		(32)
Туре	: other: Lung Sensitization	
Species	: guinea pig	
Number of animals	: 3	
Vehicle	: other: see remark	
Result	:	
Classification	:	
Method	: other: see remark	
Year	:	
GLP	: yes	
Test substance	: other TS: purity: 99.5 %	
Remark	: VEHICLE: Vapor exposure	
	METHOD: A standard approach was used that included either	
	three intradermal injections (one per day) or 5x3 hrs	
	inhalation exposures, including one additional intradermal	
	injection, followed by inhalation challenge with the hapten,	
	acetylcholine and conjugate by inhalation.	
Result	: The study provides clear evidence that HDI is a respiratory	
	sensitizer in the guinea pig bioassay. These findings lend	
	support the conclusion that succesful induction and	
	elicitation of allergic respiratory hypersensitivity can be	
	achieved either by intradermal and by inhalation induction	
	exposure.	
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	·
25.10.2000		(33)

5. Toxicity

ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002

5.4 REPEATED DOSE TOXICITY

Туре		
Species	: rat	
Sex	: female	
Strain	: Sprague-Dawley	
Route of admin.	: inhalation	
Exposure period	: 3 hours daily	
Frequency of treatm.	: 5 consecutive days followed by 2 non-exposure days, a sixth day of exposure	
Post exposure period	: 4 days	
Doses	: 1.17 ppm (0.0081 mg/l)	
Contrd group		
Method		
Year		
GLP	: yes	
Test substance	: other TS: purity: 100 %	
Result	: A cumulative effect on baseline respiratory rate was observed with no change in the extent of the respiratory response during exposure	
Reliability	: (2) valid w ith restrictions	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
09.05.2001		(34)
Туре		
Species	: rat	
Sex	: male/female	
Strain	: Sprague-Dawley	
Route of admin.	: other: head only vapor inhalation	
Exposure period	: 3 weeks	
Frequency of treatm.	: 5 h/d, 5 d/week	
Post exposure period	: 2 weeks	
Doses	: 0, 0.0048, 0.0175, 0.1500 and 0.300 ppm (analytically confirmed overall mean HDI concentrations)	
Control group	: yes, concurrent no treatment	
NOAEL	: = .005 ppm	
LOAEL	: = .0175 ppm	
Method	: other: see remark	
Year	: 1984	
GLP	: ves	
Test substance	: other TS: purity 99,83 %	
Remark	: METHOD: other: 10 animals/sex/level; animals of each test level were split into two groups; animals of group 1 were	
	sacrificed after the last exposure, and animals of group 2 were allowed to recover for 13 days and then sacrificed for	
	gross necropsy and tissue collection	
	NOMINAL CONCENTRATIONS: 0, 0.005, 0.020, 0.200 and 0.300 ppm	
	(nominal concentrations)	
	DEVITATION IN THE EXPOSURE REGIMEN: Originally only three	
	exposure concentration levels were planned. Since	
	microscopic pathological changes at the highest level were	
	not clear,a fourth exposure level of 0.3 ppm was added. No	
	controls wer used for 0.3 ppm. The objective of this test	
	level was to study the microscopic pathological changes of	
	the respiratory system at a concentration where a definite	
	effect is seen.	

5. Toxicity	ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002
	Date 27.02.2002
	MICROSCOPIC CHANGES: The changes described above appeared to
	occur in a dose-related manner in the nasal cavity only. The
	incidence of changes in the larynx and trachea was increased
	in exposed groups, but severity of the changes did not
	increase with concentration. A consultant pathologist who
	reviewed the slides of the n asal cavity characterized the changes primarily as inflammatory and concluded that only
	0.15 and 0.3 ppm were effect levels
Result	: 0.300 ppm: no mortality; severe irritation of eyes and noses
Result	during exposure and at one hour post-exposure; no effect on
	body weights, no biologically significant effects on
	hematology, blood chemistry and urinalyses; decrease in the
	liver and kidney absolute and relative weights (females);
	decrease in the relative and absolute kidney weights
	(males); in the recovery group only relative and absolute
	weights in female livers, relative kidney weights in females
	and relative liver weights in males; no gross lesions
	MICROSCOPIC CHANGES: hemorrhage, inflammatory exudate and
	epithelial changes in the nasal cavity (80 to 90% of the
	animals were affected with moderate severity); focal accumulations of mixed inflammatory cells in submucosa and
	a minimal to mild hyperplasia of the epithelium in the
	larynx and trachea; no recovery in males
	0.1500 ppm: no mortality; severe irritation of eyes and
	noses during exposure and at one hour post-exposure; no
	effect on body weights; no biologically significant effects
	on hematology, blood chemistry and urinalyses; no effects
	organ weights; no gross lesions
	MICROSCOPIC CHANGES: hemorrhage, inflammatory exudate and
	epithelial changes in the nasal cavity (50 to 70% of the
	animals were affected with moderate severity) ; focal
	accumulations of mixed inflammatory cells in submucosa and a
	minimal to mild hyperplasia of the epithelium in the larynx
	and trachea; recovery is suggested
	0.0175 ppm: no mortality; irritation of eyes and noses during exposure and at one hour post-exposure; no effect on
	body weights; no biologically significant effects on
	hematology, blood chemistry and urinalyses; no effects on
	organ weights; no gross lesions
	MICROSCOPIC CHANGES: the changes in the nasal cavity were
	minimal to mild in severity, and were similar to the control
	even though the incidence was slightly higher; recovery is
	suggested.
	0.005 ppm: no mortality; irritation of eyes and noses during
	exposure and at one hour post-exposure; no effect on body
	weights; no biologically significant effects on hematology,
	blood chemistry and urinalyses; no effects on organ weights;
	no gross lesions MICROSCORIC CHANGES: the changes in the pasal cavity were
	MICROSCOPIC CHANGES: the changes in the nasal cavity were minimal to mild in severity, and were similar to the
	minimal to mild in severity, and were similar to the control.
Reliability	: (2) valid with restrictions
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint
09.04.2001	
	(*
Turno	:
Туре	
Species	: rat
	: rat : male/female

Tan date :	HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCYA	
Toxicity	ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.200	
De la fallate		
Route of admin.	: other: vapor inhalation	
Exposure period	: approx. 13 weeks	
Frequency of treatm. Post exposure period	: 6 h/day, 5 d/week : no	
Doses		
Doses	: 0, 0.01, 0.04 and 0.14 ppm (analytically confirmed overall mean HDI	
Control group	concentrations) : yes, concurrent no treatment	
Control group	•	
Method	 = .01 ppm OECD Guide-line 413 "Subchronic Inhalation Toxicity: 90-day Study" 	
Year	: 1988	
GLP	: Ves	
Test substance	: other TS: purity 99,83 %	
Remark	: NOMINAL CONCENTRATIONS: 0, 0.01, 0.04, and 0.16 ppm	
	DEVIATION IN THE EXPOSURE REGIMEN: The variation in the	
	number of exposure days (66 to 69) was a result of different	
	rats beeing sacrificed over a period of four days. During	
	this last week allrats not removed for necrospy were still	
	exposed.	
	CLINICAL SIGNS: rhinorrhea and ocular opacity were not	
	considered to be compound related	
	HISTOPATHOLOGIC LESIONS: hyperplasie and/or squamous	
	metaplasia of the respiratory epithelium; keratin was often	
	present covering the metaplastic epithelium in the mid- and	
	high-dose rats; mucous cell hyperplasia predominantly of	
	respiratory epithelium and inflammatory cell infiltrate	
Decult	principally within subepithelial tissues	
Result	: 0.14 ppm: no mortality; ocular irritation (includes	
	lacrimation); no effects on body weights, on clinical	
	chemistry, hematology, urinalyses; gross pathology and organ to body weight ratios; histopathological lesions in the	
	cranial nasal cavity anterior to the nasal papilla of both sexes (for details see the remark field)	
	0.04 ppm: no mortality; ocular irritation (includes	
	lacrimation); no effects on body weights, on clinical	
	chemistry, hematology, urinalyses; gross pathology and organ to body weight ratios. histopathological lesions in the	
	cranial nasal cavity anterior to the nasal papilla of both	
	sexes (for details see the remark field)	
	0.01 ppm: no mortality; ocular irritation (includes	
	lacrimation); no effects on body weights, on clinical	
	chemistry, hematology, urinalyses; gross pathology and organ	
	to body weight ratios; histopathological lesions in the	
	cranial nasal cavity anterior to the nasal papilla of both	
	sexes (for details see the remark field); the lesions were	
	minor and were seen in only a few animals	
	: (1) valid without restriction	
Roliability		
Reliability Flag	Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
Reliability Flag 25.10.2000	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(36
Flag 25.10.2000	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(36
Flag 25.10.2000 Type	:	(36
Flag 25.10.2000 Type Species	: : rat	(36
Flag 25.10.2000 Type Species Sex	: : rat : male/female	(36
Flag 25.10.2000 Type Species Sex Strain	: : rat : male/female : Fischer 344	(36
Flag 25.10.2000 Type Species Sex Strain Route of admin.	: rat male/female Fischer 344 other: whole body vapor inhalation	(36
Flag 25.10.2000 Type Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period	: rat male/female Fischer 344 other: whole body vapor inhalation 2 years	(36
Flag 25.10.2000 Type Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatm.	: rat male/female Fischer 344 other: whole body vapor inhalation	(36
Flag 25.10.2000 Type Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatm. Post exposure period	: rat male/female Fischer 344 other: whole body vapor inhalation 2 years 6 h/day, 5 d/week	(36
Flag 25.10.2000 Type Species Sex Strain Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatm.	: rat male/female Fischer 344 other: whole body vapor inhalation 2 years	(36

DECD SIDS	HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCYANAT
. Toxicity	ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002
Control group	: yes, concurrent no treatment
NOAEL Method	: = .005 ppm : other: OECD Guide-line 453
Year	: 1989
GLP	: yes
Test substance	: other TS: purity 99,83 %
Demont	
Remark	: Analytically confirmed overall mean HDI CONCENTRATIONS: 0, 0.005, 0.025, and 0.164 ppm
	EXPOSURE REGIMEN: Seperate groups of 10 rats/sex/level were
	exposed under the same exposure regime for one year
	(satellite group)
	EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN: the exposure was conducted under
	dynamic conditions, the air control animals were
	sham-exposed (conditioned room air) under comparable
	conditions
	HISTOPATHOLOGY: emphasis was placed on the standardization
	of trimming procedures of the nasal cavity; removal of
	mandible, tongue and associated structures, coronal nasal
	sections were made from the followingareas:
	Level I vestibule
	Level II posterior to incisor teeth
	Level III prepapilla
	Level IV incisive papilla
	Level V first palatal ridge Level VI second palatal ridge
	Level VI first molar teeth (second molar tetth in
	satellite animals)
	HISTOPATHOLOGIC LESIONS:
	Nasal cavity:
	Level I: There was increased incidence of epithelial
	hyperplasia or thickening with hyperkeratosis and erosion
	at 0.175 ppm. Septal epithelial thickness did not
	statistically differ between control and exposed males. In
	females, hyperkeratosis of the epithelium was increased in
	all exposed groups while epithelial hyperplasia was more
	frequent in 0.005 ppm and 0.025 ppm exposed groups. There
	was a statistically significant difference in septal
	epithelial thickness between control and 0.005 ppm and 0.025
	ppm (but not 0.175 ppm) exposed females in the nasal
	vestibule.
	Level II: There was prominent, minmal to mild, hyperkeratosis and erosion in both sexes exposed to 0.175
	ppm of HDI. In 0.175 ppm males, the erosion was often more
	severe leading to ulceration. Females receiving 0.025 ppm
	also demonstrated increased hyperkeratosis. Squamous
	metaplasia genrally affecting the turbinate tips, septum,
	and lateral walls was extensive in 0.175 ppm rats while a
	combination of epithelial hyperplasia/metaplasia or mucus
	secretory cell hyperplasia was most prevalent in 0.005 ppm
	and 0.025 ppm rats of both sexes. Inflammatin was observed
	in 0.025 ppm and 0.175 ppm male and female rats an was
	slightly more severe in the 0.175 ppm exposure groups.
	Thickness of the epithelium (regardless of morphologic type)
	covering the nasal septum, dorsal and ventral turbinates and
	lateral walls was statistically different from controls at
	all exposure levels in females and at the 0.025 ppm and
	0.175 ppm levels in males.
	Level III: There was decreased squamous metaplasia

HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCYANATE

ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002

(compared hyperplasia/metaplasia in 0.175 ppm rats. Epithelial hyperplasia without metaplastic change was notably increased in 0.025 ppm and 0.175 ppm males and 0.025 ppm females. Hyperkeratosis was present in some 0.175 ppm rats of both sexes. Mucus secretory cell hyperplasia was increased at all exposure levels in both sexes. At this third section, hyaline droplet degeneration of epithelium along the dorsal septum and dorsal meatus was prominent in 0.005 ppm and 0.025 ppm females as wll as 0.025 ppm males. Non-specific inflammation was observed in groups exposed to 0.025 ppm and 0.175 ppm. Epithelial erosion or ulceration was present in a few animals particularly at 0.1175 ppm.

Level IV: Hyaline droplet degeneration was seen in both sexes with increased incidence in all exposed groups as compared to controls, but this change was more prevalent and was graded more extensively in groups exposed to 0.025 ppm. There was notable olfactory epithelium degeneration in 0.175 ppm males and females with narrowing or atrophy and occasional focal erosion or ulceration. Epithelial hyperplasia of 0.025 ppm females and mucus secretroy cell hyperplasia of all exposure groups in males and females were present. Inflammation was observed in 0.025 ppm abd 0.175 ppm males and females.

Level V/VI: In controls, there was considerable minimal to mild background levels of epithelial cell mucus and hyaline droplet, degeneration particularly along the nasal turbinate scrolls, adjacent to the septum and pharyngeal duct. There was increased amounts of muscus and hyaline material after exposure to all concentration of HDI as compared with controls. Epithelial hyperplasia, usually along the septum, was seen in 0.025 ppm females while dorsal septal erosion, often associated with metaplastic change to a squamous epithelium, was seen in 0.175 ppm males. Degeneration of the olfactory epithelium was prominent in the 0.175 ppm exposure group of both sexes. Inflammation was observed in 0.025 ppm and 0.175 ppm males and females.

Level VII: Epithelial changes were similar to those of the previous two sections and only prominent changes were noted in addition to those observations. Thus the obersevations were less frequent and principal lesions consisted of degeneration of the dorsal olfactory epithelium of the ethmoid turbinates in 0.175 ppm males and hyaline droplet degereration in 0.005 ppm females. Lungs:

There were generally minimal to mild, focal to multifocal lesions coded as epithelialization (alveolar lining cell proliferatin), interstitial pneumonia (septal thickening, alveolar cellular content and increased alveolar lining cell prominence), or alveolar macrophage accumulation (histiocyte cells in alveolar space). When considered individually or combined there was an exposure-related incidence of these lesions in rats of both sexes exposed to 0.025 ppm or 0.175 ppm of HDI.

SATELLITE OBSERVATIONS: no statistically significant terminal body weight differences between control and exposed rats of either sex in the satellite group. Nonneoplastic or neoplastic lesions were similar but less developed than those in terminal sacrifice animals; there was no early dose-related onset of neoplastic gross tissue changes;

	D SIDS HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOC	
5. Toxicity	ld 822-06-	
	Date 27.02.20)02
	lesions were restricted to histopathologic alteration of	
	nasal mucosa; after one year 0.005 ppm is considered to be a	
	NOEL since the changes observed occurred only in one sex,	
	were qualitatively similar to those seen in controls and did	
	not show any concentration-dependent increase in degree.	
Result	: 0.005 ppm: no effect on mortality rate; ocular irritation	
nooun	(includes lacrimation); no effects on body weights, on	
	clinical chemistry, hematology, urinalyses; gross pathology	
	and no significant effects on organ weights;	
	histopathological lesions in the nasal cavity; no associated	
	exposure-related lesions were observed in the trachea,	
	larynx or nasal lacrimal duct (for details see the remark	
	field)	
	0.025 ppm: no effect on mortality rate; ocular irritation	
	(includes lacrimation); no effects on body weights, on	
	clinical chemistry, hematology, urinalyses; gross pathology	
	and no significant effects on organ weights;	
	histopathological lesions in the nasal cavity and lungs; no	
	associated exposure-related lesions were observed in the	
	trachea, larynx or nasal lacrimal duct (for details see the	
	remark field)	
	0.175 ppm: no effect on mortality rate; transient ocular	
	irritation in males; no lesions of the eye detected by	
	ophthalmoscopic examination; slight body weight decrease in	
	females during the second year of exposure; hematologic	
	effects in females (associated with slight anemia); no	
	effects on dinical chemistry, urinalyses; gross pathology	
	and no significant effects on organ weights;	
	histopathological lesions in the nasal cavity and lungs; no	
	associated exposure-related lesions were observed in the	
	trachea, larynx or nasal lacrimal duct (for details see the	
	remark field)	
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(27) (20
13.12.2001		(37) (38
Туре	:	
Species	: rat	
Sex	: male/female	
	: Sprague-Dawley	
Strain		
Route of admin.	: other: whole body vapor inhalation	
Route of admin. Exposure period	other: whole body vapor inhalationmale: 28 days; female: 50 days	
Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatm.	 other: whole body vapor inhalation male: 28 days; female: 50 days 6 hours/day; 7 days/week 	
Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatm. Post exposure period	 other: whole body vapor inhalation male: 28 days; female: 50 days 6 hours/day; 7 days/week 4 days (female) 	
Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatm. Post exposure period Doses	 other: whole body vapor inhalation male: 28 days; female: 50 days 6 hours/day; 7 days/week 4 days (female) 0, 0.005, 0.050 or 0.300 ppm (nominal concentrations) 	
Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatm. Post exposure period Doses Control group	 other: whole body vapor inhalation male: 28 days; female: 50 days 6 hours/day; 7 days/week 4 days (female) 0, 0.005, 0.050 or 0.300 ppm (nominal concentrations) yes, concurrent no treatment 	
Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatm. Post exposure period Doses Control group NOAEL	 other: whole body vapor inhalation male: 28 days; female: 50 days 6 hours/day; 7 days/week 4 days (female) 0, 0.005, 0.050 or 0.300 ppm (nominal concentrations) yes, concurrent no treatment .005 ppm 	
Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatm. Post exposure period Doses Control group NOAEL LOAEL	 other: whole body vapor inhalation male: 28 days; female: 50 days 6 hours/day; 7 days/week 4 days (female) 0, 0.005, 0.050 or 0.300 ppm (nominal concentrations) yes, concurrent no treatment .005 ppm .05 ppm 	
Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatm. Post exposure period Doses Control group NOAEL LOAEL Method	 other: whole body vapor inhalation male: 28 days; female: 50 days 6 hours/day; 7 days/week 4 days (female) 0, 0.005, 0.050 or 0.300 ppm (nominal concentrations) yes, concurrent no treatment .005 ppm .05 ppm other: OECD Guide-line 422 	
Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatm. Post exposure period Doses Control group NOAEL LOAEL Method Year	 other: whole body vapor inhalation male: 28 days; female: 50 days 6 hours/day; 7 days/week 4 days (female) 0, 0.005, 0.050 or 0.300 ppm (nominal concentrations) yes, concurrent no treatment .005 ppm .05 ppm other: OECD Guide-line 422 1999 	
Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatm. Post exposure period Doses Control group NOAEL LOAEL Method	 other: whole body vapor inhalation male: 28 days; female: 50 days 6 hours/day; 7 days/week 4 days (female) 0, 0.005, 0.050 or 0.300 ppm (nominal concentrations) yes, concurrent no treatment .005 ppm .05 ppm other: OECD Guide-line 422 	
Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatm. Post exposure period Doses Control group NOAEL LOAEL Method Year GLP Test substance	 other: whole body vapor inhalation male: 28 days; female: 50 days 6 hours/day; 7 days/week 4 days (female) 0, 0.005, 0.050 or 0.300 ppm (nominal concentrations) yes, concurrent no treatment .005 ppm .05 ppm other: OECD Guide-line 422 1999 yes other TS: purity: 99,7% -99.6% 	
Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatm. Post exposure period Doses Control group NOAEL LOAEL Method Year GLP	 other: whole body vapor inhalation male: 28 days; female: 50 days 6 hours/day; 7 days/week 4 days (female) 0, 0.005, 0.050 or 0.300 ppm (nominal concentrations) yes, concurrent no treatment .005 ppm .05 ppm other: OECD Guide-line 422 1999 yes other TS: purity: 99,7% -99.6% Analytically confirmed overall (for the entire study) mean 	
Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatm. Post exposure period Doses Control group NOAEL LOAEL Method Year GLP Test substance	 other: whole body vapor inhalation male: 28 days; female: 50 days 6 hours/day; 7 days/week 4 days (female) 0, 0.005, 0.050 or 0.300 ppm (nominal concentrations) yes, concurrent no treatment .005 ppm .05 ppm other: OECD Guide-line 422 1999 yes other TS: purity: 99,7% -99.6% Analytically confirmed overall (for the entire study) mean HDI	
Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatm. Post exposure period Doses Control group NOAEL LOAEL Method Year GLP Test substance	 other: whole body vapor inhalation male: 28 days; female: 50 days 6 hours/day; 7 days/week 4 days (female) 0, 0.005, 0.050 or 0.300 ppm (nominal concentrations) yes, concurrent no treatment .005 ppm .05 ppm other: OECD Guide-line 422 1999 yes other TS: purity: 99,7% -99.6% Analytically confirmed overall (for the entire study) mean HDI CONCENTRATIONS: 0.005, 0.053 and 0.299 ppm	
Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatm. Post exposure period Doses Control group NOAEL LOAEL Method Year GLP Test substance	 other: whole body vapor inhalation male: 28 days; female: 50 days 6 hours/day; 7 days/week 4 days (female) 0, 0.005, 0.050 or 0.300 ppm (nominal concentrations) yes, concurrent no treatment .005 ppm .05 ppm other: OECD Guide-line 422 1999 yes other TS: purity: 99,7% -99.6% Analytically confirmed overall (for the entire study) mean HDI CONCENTRATIONS: 0.005, 0.053 and 0.299 ppm EXPOSURE PERIOD: during a 4-day lactation phase the exposure	
Route of admin. Exposure period Frequency of treatm. Post exposure period Doses Control group NOAEL LOAEL Method Year GLP Test substance	 other: whole body vapor inhalation male: 28 days; female: 50 days 6 hours/day; 7 days/week 4 days (female) 0, 0.005, 0.050 or 0.300 ppm (nominal concentrations) yes, concurrent no treatment .005 ppm .05 ppm other: OECD Guide-line 422 1999 yes other TS: purity: 99,7% -99.6% Analytically confirmed overall (for the entire study) mean HDI CONCENTRATIONS: 0.005, 0.053 and 0.299 ppm	

5. Toxicity Id 822-06-	
5. I OXICITY	ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002
	the females were expected through gestation day 10
	the females were exposed through gestation day 19, otherswere exposed through gestation day 18 only, and others
	were exposed through gestation day 18 and again on gestation
	day 20. In order to assess the impact of the deviation on
	the study the tissues from the respiratory tract of all
	females were examined microscopically. The results of these
	examinations did not indicate any differences between the
	females differentially exposed
Result	: 0.300 ppm: microscopic effects in the nasal cavity of both
	sexes (epithelial hyperplasia, squamous metaplasia,
	chronic-active inflammation, degeneration of the olfactory
	epithelium); no effects on hematology, clinical chemistry,
	organ weights and neurologic parameters
	0.050 ppm: similar microscopic effects, albeit to a lesser
	extent than in the 0.300 ppm exposure group; no effects on hematology, clinical chemistry, organ weights and neurologic
	parameters
	0.005 ppm: No histopathological findings; no effects on
	hematology, clinical chemistry, organ weights and neurologic
	parameters
	For clinical signs and body weight in parental animals
	(NOAEL Parental) and pups (NOAEL F1 Offspring) see chapter
—	5.8 ("Toxicity to Reproduction)
Reliability	 (1) valid without restriction Critical study for SIDS endpoint
Flag	
25 10 2000	
25.10.2000	(39) (4
25.10.2000 5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY	(39) (4
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY	(39) (4 (1) VITRO
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY	(39) (4 f 'IN VITRO' : Ames test
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing	(39) (4 f 'IN VITRO' : Ames test : S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration	(39) (4 f 'IN VITRO' : Ames test
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr.	(39) (4 f 'IN VITRO' : Ames test : S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 : no data :
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration	(39) (4 Y 'IN VITRO' : Ames test : S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 : no data : : with and without
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr. Metabolic activation	(39) (4 f 'IN VITRO' : Ames test : S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 : no data :
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr. Metabolic activation Result Method	 (39) (4 (39) (4 (1) VITRO' Ames test S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 no data with and without negative other: without modifications as described by Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364 (1975)
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr. Metabolic activation Result Method Year	 (39) (4 (39) (4 (1) VITRO' Ames test S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 no data with and without negative other: without modifications as described by Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364 (1975) 1980
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP	 (39) (4 f 'IN VITRO' Ames test S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 no data with and without negative other: without modifications as described by Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364 (1975) 1980 no data
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr. Metabolic activation Result Method Year	 (39) (4 f 'IN VITRO' A mes test S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 no data with and without negative other: without modifications as described by Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364 (1975) 1980 no data other TS: purity: synthetic grade from Merck-Schuchardt dissolved in
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP	 (39) (4 f 'IN VITRO' Ames test S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 no data with and without negative other: without modifications as described by Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364 (1975) 1980 no data
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP	 (39) (4 f 'IN VITRO' A mes test S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 no data with and without negative other: without modifications as described by Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364 (1975) 1980 no data other TS: purity: synthetic grade from Merck-Schuchardt dissolved in
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance	 (39) (4 (39) (4 (1) VITRO* : Ames test : S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 : no data : with and without : negative : other: without modifications as described by Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364 (1975) : 1980 : no data : other TS: purity: synthetic grade from Merck-Schuchardt dissolved in DMSO : METABOLIC ACTIVATION: liver microsome fraction S -9 mix from rats receiving 0.1% sodium phenobarbital in the drinking
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance	 (39) (4 C 'IN VITRO' Armes test S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 no data with and without negative other: without modifications as described by Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364 (1975) 1980 no data other TS: purity: synthetic grade from Merck-Schuchardt dissolved in DMSO METABOLIC ACTIVATION: liver microsome fraction S -9 mix from rats receiving 0.1% sodium phenobarbital in the drinking water one week before they were killed
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance	 (39) (4 C 'IN VITRO' A mes test S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 no data with and without negative other: without modifications as described by Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364 (1975) 1980 no data other TS: purity: synthetic grade from Merck-Schuchardt dissolved in DMSO METABOLIC ACTIVATION: liver microsome fraction S -9 mix from rats receiving 0.1% sodium phenobarbital in the drinking water one week before they were killed METHOD: plates containing the same dose and compound were
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Remark	 (39) (4 (49) (4 (49) (4 (49) (4 (49) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Remark Reliability	 (39) (4 C 'IN VITRO' A mes test S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 no data with and without negative other: without modifications as described by Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364 (1975) 1980 no data other TS: purity: synthetic grade from Merck-Schuchardt dissolved in DMSO METABOLIC ACTIVATION: liver microsome fraction S -9 mix from rats receiving 0.1% sodium phenobarbital in the drinking water one week before they were killed METHOD: plates containing the same dose and compound were placed in polyethylene bags which were sealed (2) valid with restrictions
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Remark Reliability Flag	 (39) (4 C 'IN VITRO' Armes test S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 no data with and without negative other: without modifications as described by Armes, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364 (1975) 1980 no data other TS: purity: synthetic grade from Merck-Schuchardt dissolved in DMSO METABOLIC ACTIVATION: liver microsome fraction S -9 mix from rats receiving 0.1% sodium phenobarbital in the drinking water one week before they were killed METHOD: plates containing the same dose and compound were placed in polyethylene bags which were sealed (2) valid with restrictions Critical study for SIDS endpoint
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Remark Reliability Flag 26.10.2000	 (39) (4 CIN VITRO Ames test S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 no data with and without negative other: without modifications as described by Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364 (1975) 1980 no data other TS: purity: synthetic grade from Merck-Schuchardt dissolved in DMSO METABOLIC ACTIVATION: liver microsome fraction S -9 mix from rats receiving 0.1% sodium phenobarbital in the drinking water one week before they were killed METHOD: plates containing the same dose and compound were placed in polyethylene bags which were sealed (2) valid with restrictions Critical study for SIDS endpoint
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Remark Reliability Flag 26.10.2000 Type	 (39) (4 C IN VITRO' Ames test S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 no data with and without negative other: without modifications as described by Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364 (1975) 1880 no data other TS: purity: synthetic grade from Merck-Schuchardt dissolved in DMSO METABOLIC ACTIVATION: liver microsome fraction S -9 mix from rats receiving 0.1% sodium phenobarbital in the drinking water one week before they were killed METHOD: plates containing the same dose and compound were placed in polyethylene bags which were sealed (2) valid with restrictions Critical study for SIDS endpoint (4
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Remark Reliability Flag 26.10.2000 Type System of testing	 (39) (4 7 IN VITRO' Ames test S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 no data with and without negative other: without modifications as described by Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364 (1975) 1980 no data other TS: purity: synthetic grade from Merck-Schuchardt dissolved in DMSO METABOLIC ACTIVATION: liver microsome fraction S -9 mix from rats receiving 0.1% sodium phenobarbital in the drinking water one week before they were killed METHOD: plates containing the same dose and compound were placed in polyethylene bags which were sealed (2) valid with restrictions Critical study for SIDS endpoint (4 Bacterial reverse mutation assay S. typhimurium TA 98, TA 100, TA 1535 and TA 1537
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Remark Reliability Flag 26.10.2000 Type System of testing Test concentration	 (39) (4 C IN VITRO' Ames test S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 no data with and without negative other: without modifications as described by Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364 (1975) 1880 no data other TS: purity: synthetic grade from Merck-Schuchardt dissolved in DMSO METABOLIC ACTIVATION: liver microsome fraction S -9 mix from rats receiving 0.1% sodium phenobarbital in the drinking water one week before they were killed METHOD: plates containing the same dose and compound were placed in polyethylene bags which were sealed (2) valid with restrictions Critical study for SIDS endpoint (4
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Remark Reliability Flag 26.10.2000 Type System of testing	 (39) (4 7 IN VITRO' Ames test S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 no data with and without negative other: without modifications as described by Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364 (1975) 1980 no data other TS: purity: synthetic grade from Merck-Schuchardt dissolved in DMSO METABOLIC ACTIVATION: liver microsome fraction S -9 mix from rats receiving 0.1% sodium phenobarbital in the drinking water one week before they were killed METHOD: plates containing the same dose and compound were placed in polyethylene bags which were sealed (2) valid with restrictions Critical study for SIDS endpoint (4 Bacterial reverse mutation assay S. typhimurium TA 98, TA 100, TA 1535 and TA 1537
5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY Type System of testing Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr. Metabolic activation Result Method Year GLP Test substance Remark Reliability Flag 26.10.2000 Type System of testing	 (39) (1N VITRO' Ames test S. typhimurium TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 no data with and without negative other: without modifications as described by Ames, B.N. et al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364 (1975) 1980 no data other TS: purity: synthetic grade from Merck-Schuchardt dissolved in DMSO METABOLIC ACTIVATION: liver microsome fraction S -9 mix from rats receiving 0.1% sodium phenobarbital in the drinking water one week before they were killed METHOD: plates containing the same dose and compound were placed in polyethylene bags which were sealed (2) valid with restrictions Critical study for SIDS endpoint Bacterial reverse mutation assay S. typhimurium TA 98, TA 100, TA 1535 and TA 1537

5. Toxicity	ld 822-06-	0
	Date 27.02.20	
Method	: other: see remark field	
Year	: 1998	
GLP	: yes	
Test substance	: other TS: purity: 99.5. %	
Remark	: METABOLIC ACTIVATION: Aroclor 1254-induced male rat liver S9	
	Was used. METHOD: The test system was exposed to the test substance	
	METHOD: The test system was exposed to the test substance via the desiccator methodology, a modification of the plate	
	incorporation methodology originally described by Ames et	
	al., Mutat. Res. 31, 347-364 (1975) and updated by Maron and	
	Ames, Mutat. Res. 113, 173-215 (1983). The desiccator	
	methodology has been shown to be an effective method for	
	detecting the genotoxic activity of volatile and gaseous test substances (Wagner et al., Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 19,	
	68 (1992)).	
	Deviation from the original study protocol: The independent	
	repeat assay was not performed because technical	
	difficulties in dosing the test article using the desiccator	
	methodology make further testing unwarranted.	
	The test article exposure was reduced to approx. 8 hours. After this exposure period, the plates were removed from the	
	desiccator and incubated with the lids replaced such that	
	the total incubation time was approx. 48 to 72 hours	
	RESULT: No precipitate was observed but toxicity generally	
	observed at $>= 6uL$ per desiccato r, with non-uniform toxicity	
	over at least 25% of the surface of each affected plate. Th enon-uniform toxicity did not appear to be a function of the	
	location or orientation of the plates in the desiccators.	
	The non-uniform toxicitx profile appears to be unique to	
	HDI. In addition, no mutagenic activity was observed in	
	locations on the plates where the background lawns were	
Reliability	nontoxic (normal). : (2) valid with restrictions	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
02.11.2000		(42) (43
Туре	: HGPRT assay	
System of testing	: CHO cells	
Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr.	: see remark field	
Metabolic activation	. with and without	
Result	: negative	
Method	: other: see remark field	
Year GLP	: 1998	
GLP Test substance	: yes : other TS: purity: 99.5 %	
Remark	: METABOLIC ACTIVATION: Aroclor 1254-induced male rat liver S9 was used	
	METHOD: The assay was performed according to a protocol	
	developed from published methodologies (Hsie et al., Mutat.	
	Res. 86, 193-214 (1981); O'Neill et al., Mutat. Res. 45,	
	91-101 (1977); Wagner et al., Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 19, 68	
	(1992)). The desiccator methodology has been shown to be an	
	effective method for detecting the genotoxic activity of volatile and gaseous test substances (Wagner et al. (1992).	
	CONCENTR ATIONS: initial assay: 1.0 up to 5 mL (-S9) and 1.0	
	up to 10 mL (+S9); independent repeat assay: 1.0 up to 10 mL	

OECD SIDS	HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCYANA	
5. Toxicity	ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002	
	(+/-S9) DEVIATION FROM THE PROTOCOL: negative controls exhibited	
	mutant frequencies > 25% per 10 to the sixth clonable cells	
	in the initial and the repeat assay; therefore this	
	deviation was not considered to have any adverse impact on	
	the conclusion or integrity of the study. Exposure time was	
	increased from 5 hours to 7.5 hours.	
	CONCURRENT CYTOTOXICITY: initial assay: no toxicity, i.e.,	
	cloning efficiency <=50%, at any dose level (relative	
	cloning efficiency was 121% and 78% at the highest dose tested without and with metabolic activation, respectively);	
	independent repeat assay: no toxicity, i.e., cloning	
	efficiency <=50%, at any dose level (relative cloning	
	efficiency was 74% and 114% at the highest dose tested	
—	without and with metabolic activation, respectively)	
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction	
Flag 02.11.2000	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint (44)	(1
02.11.2000	(44)	(4
5.6 GENETIC TOXICI		
0.0 CENETIC TOXICI		
Туре	: Micronucleus assay	
Species	: mouse	
Sex	: male/female	
Strain	: CD-1	
Route of admin.	: other: whole body vapor inhalation	
Exposure period	: once for 6 hours	
Doses	: 0.15, 0.75 and 1.5 ppm (nominal concentrations)	
Result	:	
Method Year	 other: the protocol complies with OECD Guide-line 474 1998 	
GLP	: yes	
Test substance	: other TS: purity: 99.5 %	
Remark	: ANALYTICAL CONCENTRATIONS: 0.14, 0.80 and 1.47 ppm	
Result	: TOLERATION BY THE ANIMALS: no animals died; increased	
	activity was the only sign observed during the exposures in	
	all treated groups; all animals in the 2 highest exposure	
	groups that were on study until Day 3 had lost weight	
	compared to their pretest weight; no macroscopic changes	
	were observed at necropsy in any dose group RATIO OF POLYCHROMATIC ERYTHROCYTES TO TOTAL	
	ERYTHROCYTES:	
	reduction of 2 to 17% in test substancetreated males at 48	
	hours (at 0.15 ppm 17%; at 0.75 ppm 8% and at 1.5 ppm 2%)	
	MICRONUCLEATED POLYCHROMATIC ERYTHROZYTES: no significant	
	increase was observed in male and female mice at 24, or 48	
Poliokili <i>n</i>	hours	
Reliability Flag	 (2) valid with restrictions Critical study for SIDS endpoint 	
02.11.2000	(45)	(4
02.11.2000	зтү	
5.7 CARCINOGENIC		
5.7 CARCINOGENIC	: rat	
5.7 CARCINOGENIC		

Toxicity	
TOXICITY	ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002
Strain	: Fischer 344
Route of admin.	: inhalation
Exposure period	: 2 years
Frequency of treatm.	: 6 h/day, 5 d/week
Post exposure period	: no
Doses	: 0.035; 0.175 or 1.2 mg/m3 (0.005; 0.025 or 0.175 ppm)
Result	:
Control group	: yes, concurrent vehicle
Method	 other: Test guidelines: EPA/TSCA, subpart D, 798.3320 (1988); OECD No. 453 (1981); MAFF/Japan, 59 NohSan No. 4200 (1985)
Year	
GLP Toot substance	: yes
Test substance	:
Remark	: see also chapter 5.4
Result	: no increase of tumor incidences at any concentration
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint
	(3
.8.1 TOXICITY TO FERTILI	TY
Туре	: other: Combined Repeated Dose Toxicity Study with the
iypc	Reproduction/Developmental Toxicity Screening Test
Species	: rat
Sex	: male/female
Strain	: Sprague-Dawley
Route of admin.	: other: whole body vapor inhalation
Exposure period	: male: 28 days; female: 50 days
Frequency of treatm.	: 6 hours/day, 7 days/week
Premating exposure perio	d
Male	: 14 days
Female	: 14 days
Duration of test	: 54 days
No. of generation	:
studies	
Doses Control group	: 0, 0.005, 0.050 or 0.300 ppm (nominal concentrations)
Control group Method	 yes, concurrent no treatment other: OECD Guide-line 422
Year	: 1999
GLP	. 1999 : yes
	other TS: purity:99.7% -99.6%
Test substance	
Test substance	
Test substance Remark	: Analytically confirmed overall (for the entire study) mean
	HDI
	HDI CONCENTRATIONS: 0.005, 0.053 and 0.299 ppm
	HDI CONCENTRATIONS: 0.005, 0.053 and 0.299 ppm EXPOSURE PERIOD: during a 4-day lactation phase the exposure
	HDI CONCENTRATIONS: 0.005, 0.053 and 0.299 ppm EXPOSURE PERIOD: during a 4-day lactation phase the exposure to HDI was also discontinued for the dams.
	HDI CONCENTRATIONS: 0.005, 0.053 and 0.299 ppm EXPOSURE PERIOD: during a 4-day lactation phase the exposure to HDI was also discontinued for the dams. Deviation in the exposure regimen of the females: some of
	HDI CONCENTRATIONS: 0.005, 0.053 and 0.299 ppm EXPOSURE PERIOD: during a 4-day lactation phase the exposure to HDI was also discontinued for the dams. Deviation in the exposure regimen of the females: some of the females were exposed through gestation day 19, others
	HDI CONCENTRATIONS: 0.005, 0.053 and 0.299 ppm EXPOSURE PERIOD: during a 4-day lactation phase the exposure to HDI was also discontinued for the dams. Deviation in the exposure regimen of the females: some of the females were exposed through gestation day 19, others were exposed through gestation day 18 only, and others were
	HDI CONCENTRATIONS: 0.005, 0.053 and 0.299 ppm EXPOSURE PERIOD: during a 4-day lactation phase the exposure to HDI was also discontinued for the dams. Deviation in the exposure regimen of the females: some of the females were exposed through gestation day 19, others were exposed through gestation day 18 only, and others were exposed through gestation day 18 and again on gestation day
	HDI CONCENTRATIONS: 0.005, 0.053 and 0.299 ppm EXPOSURE PERIOD: during a 4-day lactation phase the exposure to HDI was also discontinued for the dams. Deviation in the exposure regimen of the females: some of the females were exposed through gestation day 19, others were exposed through gestation day 18 only, and others were exposed through gestation day 18 and again on gestation day 20. In order to assess the impact of the deviation on the
	HDI CONCENTRATIONS: 0.005, 0.053 and 0.299 ppm EXPOSURE PERIOD: during a 4-day lactation phase the exposure to HDI was also discontinued for the dams. Deviation in the exposure regimen of the females: some of the females were exposed through gestation day 19, others were exposed through gestation day 18 only, and others were exposed through gestation day 18 and again on gestation day 20. In order to assess the impact of the deviation on the study the tissues from the respiratory tract of all females
	HDI CONCENTRATIONS: 0.005, 0.053 and 0.299 ppm EXPOSURE PERIOD: during a 4-day lactation phase the exposure to HDI was also discontinued for the dams. Deviation in the exposure regimen of the females: some of the females were exposed through gestation day 19, others were exposed through gestation day 18 only, and others were exposed through gestation day 18 and again on gestation day 20. In order to assess the impact of the deviation on the study the tissues from the respiratory tract of all females were examined microscopically. The results of these
	HDI CONCENTRATIONS: 0.005, 0.053 and 0.299 ppm EXPOSURE PERIOD: during a 4-day lactation phase the exposure to HDI was also discontinued for the dams. Deviation in the exposure regimen of the females: some of the females were exposed through gestation day 19, others were exposed through gestation day 18 only, and others were exposed through gestation day 18 and again on gestation day 20. In order to assess the impact of the deviation on the study the tissues from the respiratory tract of all females
	HDI CONCENTRATIONS: 0.005, 0.053 and 0.299 ppm EXPOSURE PERIOD: during a 4-day lactation phase the exposure to HDI was also discontinued for the dams. Deviation in the exposure regimen of the females: some of the females were exposed through gestation day 19, others were exposed through gestation day 18 only, and others were exposed through gestation day 18 and again on gestation day 20. In order to assess the impact of the deviation on the study the tissues from the respiratory tract of all females were examined microscopically. The results of these examinations did not indicate any differences between the

OECD SIDS	HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCYANAT
5. Toxicity	ld 822-06-0
	Date 27.02.2002
	The NOEL for clinical signs during premating and mating
	phases, for both and females, was 0.300 ppm.
	The NOEL for effects on body weight during premating and
	mating phases was 0.050 ppm for the females and 0.300 ppm
	for the males.
	The NOEL for maternal clinical signs and for effects on
	maternal body weight during gestationphase was 0.300 ppm.
	The NOEL for maternal clinical signs and for effects on
	maternal body weight and food consumption during the
	lactation phase was 0.300 ppm.
	NOAEL F1 OFFSPRING:
	The NOEL for pup clinical signs and for effects on pup body
	weight during lactation phase were 0.300 ppm.
Result	: There were no statistically significant effects on the
	mating, fertility, or gestation indices. There were no
	effects observed on the days to insemination, gestation
	length, or total number of implantation sites. The NOEL for
	effects on reproductive parameters was 0.300 ppm.
	There were no statistically significant effects on litter size, total number of pups born, sex distribution, mean
	weight of viable pups, mean number of viable pups or number
	of stillborn pups. No statistically significant effects were
	observed on the live birth, viability, lactation, or birth
	indices. The NOEL for effects on litter parameters was 0.300
	The pathology findings, including hematology, clinical
	chemistry, organ weights, gross and microscopic evaluations,
	and the neurotoxicological evaluations are presented in
	chapter 5.4 ("Repeated Dose Toxicity").
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint
25.10.2000	(39) (4
5.8.2 DEVELOPMEN	ITAL TOXICITY/TERATOGENICITY

Species	: rat
Sex	: female
Strain	: Sprague-Dawley
Route of admin.	: other: whole body vapor inhalation
Exposure period	: days 0 through 19 of gestation
Frequency of treatm.	: daily 6 hours
Duration of test	: 20 days
Doses	: 0, 0.005, 0.050 or 0.300 ppm (nominal concentrations)
Control group	: yes, concurrent no treatment
NOAEL maternal tox.	: .005 ppm
NOAEL teratogen.	: .3 ppm
Method	: OECD Guide-line 414 "Teratogenicity"
Year	: 1999
GLP	: yes
Test substance	: other TS: purity:99.7% -99.6%
Remark	: Analytically confirmed overall (for the entire study) mean
	HDI
	CONCENTRATIONS: 0.005, 0.052 and 0.308 ppm
Result	: 0.300 ppm: no mortality; no clinical signs; no test compound
	related effects on maternal body weight, uterine weight, and
	net body weight; microscopic changes within the nasal

5 Toxicity		TE
5. Toxicity	ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002	
	cavity;	
	No effects on reproductive parameters; no embryotoxicity; no	
	litter effects; no fetal external, visceral, and skeletal	
	malformations	
	0.050 ppm: no mortality; no clinical signs; no test compound related effects on maternal body weight, uterine weight, and	
	net body weight; microscopic changes within the nasal cavity	
	(to a lesser extent compared to the 0.300 ppm exposure group)	
	No effects on reproductive parameters; no embryotoxicity; no litter effects; no fetal external, visceral, and skeletal	
	malformations	
	0.005 ppm: no mortality; no clinical signs; no test compound	
	related effects on maternal body weight, uterine weight, and	
	net body weight; no microscopic changes within the nasal cavity	
	No effects on reproductive parameters; no embryotoxicity; no	
	litter effects; no fetal external, visceral, and skeletal malformations	
Reliability	: (1) valid without restriction	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
13.12.2001	(4	(46
5.8.3 TOXICITY TO	REPRODUCTION, OTHER STUDIES	
5.9 SPECIFIC INV	ESTIGATIONS	
	XPERIENCE	
5.10 EXPOSURE E	 Three male volunteers were shortly exposed to HDI (insufficiently reported study). 0.007 mg/m3 (0.001 ppm) was 	
5.10 EXPOSURE E	 Three male volunteers were shortly exposed to HDI (insufficiently reported study). 0.007 mg/m3 (0.001 ppm) was not smelled; 0.035 mg/m3 (0.005 ppm) was smelled by 1/3 man 	
5.10 EXPOSURE E	 Three male volunteers were shortly exposed to HDI (insufficiently reported study). 0.007 mg/m3 (0.001 ppm) was not smelled; 0.035 mg/m3 (0.005 ppm) was smelled by 1/3 man and 0.07 mg/m3 (0.01 ppm) by all; at 0.14 mg/m3 (0.02 ppm) 	
5.10 EXPOSURE E	 Three male volunteers were shortly exposed to HDI (insufficiently reported study). 0.007 mg/m3 (0.001 ppm) was not smelled; 0.035 mg/m3 (0.005 ppm) was smelled by 1/3 man and 0.07 mg/m3 (0.01 ppm) by all; at 0.14 mg/m3 (0.02 ppm) HDI was clearly perceptible and led to a slight irritation in two volunteers; 0.7 mg/m3 (0.1 ppm) had an acrid odor and 	
5.10 EXPOSURE E Remark	 Three male volunteers were shortly exposed to HDI (insufficiently reported study). 0.007 mg/m3 (0.001 ppm) was not smelled; 0.035 mg/m3 (0.005 ppm) was smelled by 1/3 man and 0.07 mg/m3 (0.01 ppm) by all; at 0.14 mg/m3 (0.02 ppm) HDI was clearly perceptible and led to a slight irritation in two volunteers; 0.7 mg/m3 (0.1 ppm) had an acrid odor and led to clear irritation of eyes and throat. 	
5.10 EXPOSURE E	 Three male volunteers were shortly exposed to HDI (insufficiently reported study). 0.007 mg/m3 (0.001 ppm) was not smelled; 0.035 mg/m3 (0.005 ppm) was smelled by 1/3 man and 0.07 mg/m3 (0.01 ppm) by all; at 0.14 mg/m3 (0.02 ppm) HDI was clearly perceptible and led to a slight irritation in two volunteers; 0.7 mg/m3 (0.1 ppm) had an acrid odor and led to clear irritation of eyes and throat. Critical study for SIDS endpoint 	14
5.10 EXPOSURE E Remark Flag	 Three male volunteers were shortly exposed to HDI (insufficiently reported study). 0.007 mg/m3 (0.001 ppm) was not smelled; 0.035 mg/m3 (0.005 ppm) was smelled by 1/3 man and 0.07 mg/m3 (0.01 ppm) by all; at 0.14 mg/m3 (0.02 ppm) HDI was clearly perceptible and led to a slight irritation in two volunteers; 0.7 mg/m3 (0.1 ppm) had an acrid odor and led to clear irritation of eyes and throat. Critical study for SIDS endpoint (1) 	14
5.10 EXPOSURE E Remark	 EXPERIENCE Three male volunteers were shortly exposed to HDI (insufficiently reported study). 0.007 mg/m3 (0.001 ppm) was not smelled; 0.035 mg/m3 (0.005 ppm) was smelled by 1/3 man and 0.07 mg/m3 (0.01 ppm) by all; at 0.14 mg/m3 (0.02 ppm) HDI was clearly perceptible and led to a slight irritation in two volunteers; 0.7 mg/m3 (0.1 ppm) had an acrid odor and led to clear irritation of eyes and throat. Critical study for SIDS endpoint (1) 	14
5.10 EXPOSURE E Remark Flag	 Three male volunteers were shortly exposed to HDI (insufficiently reported study). 0.007 mg/m3 (0.001 ppm) was not smelled; 0.035 mg/m3 (0.005 ppm) was smelled by 1/3 man and 0.07 mg/m3 (0.01 ppm) by all; at 0.14 mg/m3 (0.02 ppm) HDI was clearly perceptible and led to a slight irritation in two volunteers; 0.7 mg/m3 (0.1 ppm) had an acrid odor and led to clear irritation of eyes and throat. Critical study for SIDS endpoint (1) 	14
5.10 EXPOSURE E Remark Flag	 EXPERIENCE Three male volunteers were shortly exposed to HDI (insufficiently reported study). 0.007 mg/m3 (0.001 ppm) was not smelled; 0.035 mg/m3 (0.005 ppm) was smelled by 1/3 man and 0.07 mg/m3 (0.01 ppm) by all; at 0.14 mg/m3 (0.02 ppm) HDI was clearly perceptible and led to a slight irritation in two volunteers; 0.7 mg/m3 (0.1 ppm) had an acrid odor and led to clear irritation of eyes and throat. Critical study for SIDS endpoint Case reports as well as systematic examinations of occupationally exposed workers described as main symptoms asthmatic reactions, bronchial hyperreactivity, alveolitis, changes in several parameters of lung function and the 	14
5.10 EXPOSURE E Remark Flag	 EXPERIENCE Three male volunteers were shortly exposed to HDI (insufficiently reported study). 0.007 mg/m3 (0.001 ppm) was not smelled; 0.035 mg/m3 (0.005 ppm) was smelled by 1/3 man and 0.07 mg/m3 (0.01 ppm) by all; at 0.14 mg/m3 (0.02 ppm) HDI was clearly perceptible and led to a slight irritation in two volunteers; 0.7 mg/m3 (0.1 ppm) had an acrid odor and led to clear irritation of eyes and throat. Critical study for SIDS endpoint (1) Case reports as well as systematic examinations of occupationally exposed workers described as main symptoms asthmatic reactions, bronchial hyperreactivity, alveolitis, changes in several parameters of lung function and the occurrence of IgG or IgE antibodies against HDI bound to 	14
5.10 EXPOSURE E Remark Flag	 EXPERIENCE Three male volunteers were shortly exposed to HDI (insufficiently reported study). 0.007 mg/m3 (0.001 ppm) was not smelled; 0.035 mg/m3 (0.005 ppm) was smelled by 1/3 man and 0.07 mg/m3 (0.01 ppm) by all; at 0.14 mg/m3 (0.02 ppm) HDI was clearly perceptible and led to a slight irritation in two volunteers; 0.7 mg/m3 (0.1 ppm) had an acrid odor and led to clear irritation of eyes and throat. Critical study for SIDS endpoint (1) Case reports as well as systematic examinations of occupationally exposed workers described as main symptoms asthmatic reactions, bronchial hyperreactivity, alveolitis, changes in several parameters of lung function and the occurrence of IgG or IgE antibodies against HDI bound to human serum albumin. In most of the cases the exposure 	14
5.10 EXPOSURE E Remark Flag	 CXPERIENCE Three male volunteers were shortly exposed to HDI (insufficiently reported study). 0.007 mg/m3 (0.001 ppm) was not smelled; 0.035 mg/m3 (0.005 ppm) was smelled by 1/3 man and 0.07 mg/m3 (0.01 ppm) by all; at 0.14 mg/m3 (0.02 ppm) HDI was clearly perceptible and led to a slight irritation in two volunteers; 0.7 mg/m3 (0.1 ppm) had an acrid odor and led to clear irritation of eyes and throat. Critical study for SIDS endpoint Case reports as well as systematic examinations of occupationally exposed workers described as main symptoms asthmatic reactions, bronchial hyperreactivity, alveolitis, changes in several parameters of lung function and the occurrence of IgG or IgE antibodies against HDI bound to human serum albumin. In most of the cases the exposure occurred to spray applications of polyurethane coatings that based on HDI prepolymers and had an average residual monomer 	14
5.10 EXPOSURE E Remark Flag	 CAPERIENCE Three male volunteers were shortly exposed to HDI (insufficiently reported study). 0.007 mg/m3 (0.001 ppm) was not smelled; 0.035 mg/m3 (0.005 ppm) was smelled by 1/3 man and 0.07 mg/m3 (0.01 ppm) by all; at 0.14 mg/m3 (0.02 ppm) HDI was clearly perceptible and led to a slight irritation in two volunteers; 0.7 mg/m3 (0.1 ppm) had an acrid odor and led to clear irritation of eyes and throat. Critical study for SIDS endpoint (1) (1) (2) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (5) (5) (5) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (8) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (1) <li< td=""><td>(14)</td></li<>	(14)
5.10 EXPOSURE E Remark Flag	 CAPERIENCE Three male volunteers were shortly exposed to HDI (insufficiently reported study). 0.007 mg/m3 (0.001 ppm) was not smelled; 0.035 mg/m3 (0.005 ppm) was smelled by 1/3 man and 0.07 mg/m3 (0.01 ppm) by all; at 0.14 mg/m3 (0.02 ppm) HDI was clearly perceptible and led to a slight irritation in two volunteers; 0.7 mg/m3 (0.1 ppm) had an acrid odor and led to clear irritation of eyes and throat. Critical study for SIDS endpoint (1) Case reports as well as systematic examinations of occupationally exposed workers described as main symptoms asthmatic reactions, bronchial hyperreactivity, alveolitis, changes in several parameters of lung function and the occurrence of IgG or IgE antibodies against HDI bound to human serum albumin. In most of the cases the exposure occurred to spray applications of polyurethane coatings that based on HDI prepolymers and had an average residual monomer content of <= 0.5 %; additionally during production and processing the workers were exposed (for details see cited 	14
5.10 EXPOSURE E Remark Flag	 CAPERIENCE Three male volunteers were shortly exposed to HDI (insufficiently reported study). 0.007 mg/m3 (0.001 ppm) was not smelled; 0.035 mg/m3 (0.005 ppm) was smelled by 1/3 man and 0.07 mg/m3 (0.01 ppm) by all; at 0.14 mg/m3 (0.02 ppm) HDI was clearly perceptible and led to a slight irritation in two volunteers; 0.7 mg/m3 (0.1 ppm) had an acrid odor and led to clear irritation of eyes and throat. Critical study for SIDS endpoint (1) (1) (2) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (5) (5) (5) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (8) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (1) <li< td=""><td>14</td></li<>	14

OIND

OECD SIDS	HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCYA	
5. Toxicity	ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.200)2
Remark	: Several cases of cross reactivity between different isocyanates (HDI, TDI, MDI etc.) were reported in exposed workers.	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint (79) (80) (81) (82) (68) (83) (71)	(72) (77)
Remark	: Biomonitoring:	
	In an inhalation trail five healthy male non-smokers (age 36 to 50 years; four out of five were exposed in former times) were exposed to an average HDI concentration of 0.025 mg/m3 for 7.5 h; the absorbed dose/person was estimated to be about 0.1 mg; in the hydrolysed urine 0.0018 to 0.014 mg of the related 1,6-hexamethylene diamine (HDA) was determined within 28 h corresponding to 11 to 21 % of the absorbed HDI concentration; HDA elimination was rapid and the half life was in the range of 1.1 to 1.4 h; HDA was not detectable in the plasma (detection limit: 0.0005 mg/l).	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(32)
Remark	: Biomonitoring: One volunteer was exposed to an average HDI concentration of 0.03 mg/m3 for 7.5 h and the absorbed HDI amount was estimated to be around 0.1 mg; in the hydrolysed urine 0.01 mg HDA was detected within 28 h corresponding to around 10 % of the absorbed HDA; HDA half life was about 1.4 h and more than 90 % were excreted via the urine within the first four h.	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(84)
Remark	: Biomonitoring:	
	Exposure of five volunteers to HDI (0.15 to 0.33 mg/m3) lasting 15 min. led to detectable amounts of HDA in the hydrolysed urine; the highest HDA concentration was noted after 30 min. (around 0.0185 mg/mmol creatinine) while after 6 to 8 h less than 0.0003 mg HDA/mmol creatinine was detectable (with one exception).	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(85)
Remark	: 41 male car painters (age: 20 to 64; 58 % smokers) with an	
	average of 7 year employment that were e xposed to HDI concentrations of about 0.001 mg/m3 (paint with 0.5 to 1 % unreacted HDI and 40 to 50 % HDI biuret trimer in the hardener) with brief peaks had an increased incidence in eye, nose and throat irritation and chronic bronchitis but no differences in spirometry was noted in comparison to controls (car painters and mechanics without HDI exposure). Closing volume in relation to vital capacity was increased suggesting a "small airways disease" on Monday before work and tended to increase during work week.	
	UNEP PUBLICATIONS	65

5. Toxicity	ld 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(86
Remark	 81 workers (age: 21 to > 50 years; 57 % smokers) engaged in the production of HDI showed normal lung functions (cross- sectional analysis) though the 20 ppb TLV was occasionally exceeded. 	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(87
Remark	: 36 male car painters (average age of 39.8 years, 55 % smokers, mean employment of 16.5 years) participated in a follow-up study with measurement of lung function and exposure measurement. The mean HDI exposure was 0.0015 mg/m3 and the mean HDI biuret trimer (HDI-BT) exposure 0.09 mg/m3. The smoking car painters had greater yearly reduction in forced vital capacity, forced expiratory volume and closing volume compared to smoking controls, while the nonsmoking car painters showed no differences in lung volumes in comparison to nonsmoking controls. The impairment correlated with the frequency of high peak exposure to HDI-BT but not with the mean exposure to diisocyanates.	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(88
Remark	In a prospective evaluation of 150 workers exposed to HDI and its trimer during 18 months specific IgG- and IgE- antibodies against HDI bound to human serum albumin were found in 13 and 5 % of the exposed group resp However, there was insufficient evidence about the relationship between antibody titer and clinical disease.	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(89
Remark	: An examination of 11 workers with occupational asthma after HDI exposure indicated that people with a heterozygous alpha1 antitrypsin phenotype tend to bronchial hyperreactivity in contrast to people with homozygous alpha1 antitrypsin phenotype.	
Flag	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(90
Remark	: The results of a 2.5-year follow-up of workers in a polyurethane molding process with combined exposure to isocyanate and organic solvents indicate that long-term exposure to isocyanates may contribute to impaired pulmonary function.	
Flag 21.10.1998	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(91
Remark	: Peripheral blood mononuclear cells of workers (n=19) with confirmed diisocyanate-induced occupational asthma can be	

OECD SIDS	HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCY	ANATE
5. Toxicity	ld 822-06- Date 27.02.2	
_	stimulated in vitro with DHI-HSA antigens to produce basophil-activating histamine releasing factors and monocyte chemotyration protein 1.	
Flag 21.10.1998	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(92)
Remark	: Biomonitoring: There was a linear association of HDI air concentration (0.30 to 97.7 ug/m3) with urinary HDA (1.36 to 27.7 ug/g creatinine) liberated by acid hydrolysis from its conjugates in post shift samples of 19 men.	
Flag 22.10.1998	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(93)
Remark	 The apoptosis seems to increase in white blood cells of isocyanate-workers after inhalation of HDI (5 ppb for 15 minutes followed by 10 ppb for 105 minutes; number of HDI-exposed workers unknown). 	
Flag 21.10.1998	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(94)
Remark	: Biomonitoring: Three volunteers were each exposed to 11.9, 20.5 and 22.1 ug HDI/m3 for 2 hours. After hydrolys is under alkaline conditions the average urinary excretion of HDA was 39 %. The average urinary elimination half-time for HDA was 2.5 h. No HDA could be found in hydrolysed plasma.	
Flag 21.10.1998	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(95)
Remark	: Biomonitoring: Urine samples were taken from sprayers wearing personal protective equipment and spraying in booths or with local exhaust ventilation, from bystanders, and from unexposed subjects. HDA was detected in four sprayers and one bystander out of 22 workers. No HDA was detected in the urine of unexposed subjects.	
Flag 02.11.2000	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(96)
Remark	: This pilot study documents HDI contamination on a number of surfaces in auto body shops. In addition, it has been shown evidence of substantial epicutaneous exposure to HDI in auto body shop workers and the inadequacy of latex gloves in exposures.	
Flag 02.11.2000	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	(97)
5.11 ADDITIONAL R	EMARKS	
Туре	: other	
Remark	: revision: 10/00	
	UNEP PUBLICATIONS	67

OECD SIDS 5. Toxicity	HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCYANATE Id 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002	
Flag 25.10.2000	: Critical study for SIDS endpoint	
Туре	: other	
Remark Flag 26.10.2000	 An overall perspective of the toxicology of hexamethylene diisocyanate is given in some comprehensive publications Critical study for SIDS endpoint (98) (99) 	
Туре	: other	
Remark Flag 02.11.2000	 An overall perspective of the sensitization potential Critical study for SIDS endpoint (100) 	

OECD SIDS		HYLENE	DIISOCYANATE
6. References			822-06-0 27.02.2002
(1)	Technische Regeln für Gefahrstoffe -TRGS 900- Grenzwerte in der Luft am Arbeitsplatz 'Luftgrenzwerte', Ausgabe Oktober 2000 (BArBI. 10/2000 S. 34-63), Richtlinie 2000/39/EG v. 08-06-2000 (ABI. L 142 vom 16-06-2000 S. 47)		
(2)	ACGIH, Treshold limit values and biologic exposure indices for 2000. American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, Cincinnati, Ohio, (2000)		
(3)	Bayer AG, DIN-Sicherheitsdatenblatt. Bayer AG, Leverkusen (2000)		
(4)	Aldrich, Katalog Handbuch Feinchemikalien. Aldrich-Chemie GmbH & Co. KG, Steinheim, 706 (2000)		
(5)	DFG, Hexamethylendiiosocyanat. In: Henschler, D. (ed.), Analytische Methoden zur Pruefung gesundheitsschaedlicher Arbeitsstoffe, Bd. 1. Luftanalysen. VCH, Weinheim, 1-14 (1988)		
(6)	Sorbe, Sicherheitstechnische Kenndaten, Gefahrenindex chemischer Stoffe, 9. Ergaenzungsl ecomed, Landsberg, 39 (1988)		
(7)	Eisenmann, KH. & Zenner, KF., Isocyanate. In: Ullmanns Encyklopaedie der technischen Chemie, Band 13, 4. Aufl Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, 347-358 (1977)		
(8)	Hommel, G., Handbuch der gefaehrlichen Gueter. Merkblatt 365, 4. Aufl Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 365 (1985)		
(9)	Calculation Bayer AG (2000), Photodegradation with SCR-AOP AOPWIN 1994	·/	
(10)	Sopac, E.D. & Boltromejuk, L.P., Gig. Sanit. 7, 10-13 (1974)		
(11)	Bayer AG data 861 A/99 (2000)		
(12)	Smyth Jr., H.F. et al., Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 30, 470-476 (1969)		
(13)	Union Carbide Co., Range finding tests on 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate (HMDI; Report No. 27-91; NTIS/OTS 0515600; Do I.D. 86-870001438; Date Received 03.08.1987). Union Carbide Corporation (1964)		
(14)	Bayer AG, Desmodur H, Toxikologische Untersuchungen (Beric Nr. 2146; unpublished). Bayer AG, Institut fuer Toxikologie, Wuppertal (1970)	cht	
(15)	Bayer AG data, Report No. 25999, 19 February 1997		
(16)	Sangha, G.K., Sensory irritation study with HDI in rats (Study No. 81-341-04, Report No. 313). Mobay Chemical Corp., Stilwell, Kansas (1982)	,	
(17)	Mobay Corporation data, Report No. 857, June 11, 1987		
	UNEP PUBLICATIONS		69

OECD SIDS	HEXAMETHY		
6. References	5		822-06-0 27.02.2002
(18)	Schreiber, G., Bayer AG data, 2. April 1981		
(19)	Basketter, D.A. & Gerberick, G.F., Contact Dermatits 35, 146-151 (1996)		
(20)	Zissu, D. et al., Contact Dermatits 39, 248-251 (1998)		
(21)	Clemmensen, S., Drug Chem. Toxicol. 7, 527-540 (1984)		
(22)	Bayer AG, Hexamethylen-1,6-diisocyanat (HDI), Untersuchungen zur sensibilisierenden Wirkung an der Meerschweinchenhaut (Bericht N r. 11703; unpublished). Bayer AG, Institut fuer Toxikologie, Wuppertal (1983)		
(23)	Gad, S.C. et al., Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 84, 93-114 (1986)		
(24)	Karol, M.H. & Kramarik, J.A., Toxicology Letters 89, 139-146 (1996)		
(25)	Thorne, P.S. et al., Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 87, 155-165 (1987)		
(26)	Hilton, J. et al., Toxicology Methods 5, 51-60 (1995)		
(27)	Kanerva, L. et al., Allergic contact dermatitis from isocyanates. Current topics in contact dermatits. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 368-373 (1989)		
(28)	Cartwright, P.H. et al., Contact Dermatitis 23, 252 (1990)		
(29)	Wilkinson, S.M. et al., Contact Derm. 25, 94-96 (1991)		
(30)	Brunze, M. et al., Contact Dermatitis 34, 336-340 (1996)		
(31)	Hjortsberg, U. et al., Br. J. Ind. Med. 44, 824-828 (1987)		
(32)	Brorson, T. et al., Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health 62, 385-389 (1990)		
(33)	Bayer AG data, Report No. 25460, September 1996		
(34)	Mobay Corporation data, Report No. 930, August 26, 1987		
(35)	Sangha, G.K., 21-day inhalation toxicity study with HDI (Study No. 80-141-01, Report No. 469). Mobay Chemical Corp., Stilwell, Kansas (1984)		
(36)	Shiotsuka, R.N., 90-day inhalation toxicity study with 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate (HDI) in rats (Study No. 81-141-01, Report No. 1095). Mobay Chemical Corp., Stilwell, Kansas (1988)		
(37)	Foureman, G.L. et al., Inhaltion Toxicology 6 (Suppl.), 341-355 (199	4)	

OECD SIDS		KAMETHYLENE	DIISOCYANATE
6. References			822-06-0 27.02.2002
(38)	Shiotsuka, R.N., Chronic inhalation toxicity and oncogenicity study with 1,6 hexamethylene diisocyanat in rats (Study No. 83-241-01, Report No. 1157). Mobay Chemical Corp., Stilwell, Kansas (1989)	e (HDI)	
(39)	Astroff, A.B. et al., Reproductive Toxicology 14, 135-146 (2000)		
(40)	Astroff, A.B.: unpublished report of CMA, Study Numbe 98-642-PI, June 4, 1999	r	
(41)	Andersen, M. et al., Scand. J. Work Environ. Health 6, 221-226 (1980)		
(42)	Chemical Manufactures Association (CMA) data; Labo Study Number G98AF76.502602, September 9, 1998	ratory	
(43)	Wagner, V.O. et al., Toxicological Sciences 55, 376-382 (2000)	2	
(44)	Chemical Manufactures Association (CMA) data; Labo Study Number G98AF76.782602, September 22, 1998		
(45)	Chemical Manufactures Association (CMA) data; Spor Project Number HDI-1.0-MAB-MUTA, September 29, 2		
(46)	Astroff, A.B.: unpublished report of CMA, Study Numbe 98-642-RX, June 16, 1999	r	
(47)	Ameille, J. et al., Arch. Mal. Prof. 44, 477-481 (1983)		
(48)	Banks, D.E. et al., Chest 95, 414-423 (1989)		
(49)	Baur, X., Allergologie 9, 487-496 (1986)		
(50)	Baur, X., et al., Am. J. Ind. Med. 33, 114-122 (1998)		
(51)	Belin, L. et al., J. Work Environ. Health 7, 310-311 (198	1)	
(52)	Broughton, A. et al., Am. J. Ind. Med. 13, 463-472 (1988	3)	
(53)	Cartier, A. et al., J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 83, 214 (1989))	
(54)	Cartier, A. et al., J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 84, 507-514 (1989)		
(55)	Charles, J. et al., Thorax 31, 127-136 (1976)		
(56)	Cockcroft, D.W. & Mink, J.T., Can. Med. Assoc. 121, 60 (1979)	2-604	
(57)	Cockcroft, D.W. et al., J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 63, 151 (1979)		
(58)	Cockcroft, D.W., Ann. Allergy 48, 93-95 (1982)		
(59)	Cvitanovic, S. et al., Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health 61 483-486 (1989)	l,	

DECD SIDS 5. References		لما	DIISOCYANAT
			822-06-0 27.02.2002
(60)	Deschamps, F. et al., J. Toxicol. Environ. Health, Pa 54, 335-342 (1998)	rt A,	
(61)	Ferguson, K. et al., J. Occup. Health Safety 12, 31-4 (1996)	10	
(62)	Filatova, V.S. et al., Gig. Tr. Prof. Zabol. 12, 3-7 (196	8)	
(63)	Franklin, P.J. et al., Am. J. Ind. Med. 37, 431-437 (20	000)	
(64)	Gerritsen, W.B., Chem. Weekbl. 51, 269-270 (1955	5)	
(65)	Grammer, L.C. et al., J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 83, 2 (1989)	215	
(66)	Hathaway, J.A. et al., J. Occup. Environ. Med. 41, 37 (1999)	78-383	
(67)	Innocenti, A. et al., Med. Lavoro 77, 191-194 (1986)		
(68)	Keskinen, H. et al., Clin. Allergy 18, 597-604 (1988)		
(69)	Malo, J.L. et al., J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 72, 413-4 (1983)	19	
(70)	Nielsen, J. et al., Scand. J. Work Environ. Health 17 (1985)	I, 51-54	
(71)	O'Brien, I.M. et al., Clin. Allergy 9, 1-6 (1979)		
(72)	Patterson, R. et al., Am. Rev. Respir. Dis. 141, 226 (1990)	-230	
(73)	Redlich, C.A. et al., Scand. J. Work Environ. Health 227-231 (1997)	23,	
(74)	Selden, A.I. et al., Scand. J. Work Environ. Health 1 234-237 (1989)	5,	
(75)	Tyrer, F.H., J. Soc. Occup. Med. 29, 22-24 (1979)		
(76)	Vandenplas, O. et al., Am. Rev. Respir. Dis. 145, A (1992)	503	
(77)	Wass, U. & Belin, L., J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 83, 1 (1989)	26-135	
(78)	Welinder, H. et al., Clin. Allergy 18, 85-93 (1988)		
(79)	Baur, X. & Fruhmann, G., Chest 80, 73-76 (1981)		
(80)	Baur, X., J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 71, 197-205 (198	3)	
(81)	Belin, L. & Wass, U., Eur. J. Res pir. Dis. Suppl. 62 148-149 (1981)	,	
(82)	Gallagher, J.S. et al., J. Occup. Med. 23, 610-616 (1	981)	

OECD SIDS	HEXAMETHYLENE DIISOCYANA	
6. References	id 822-06-0 Date 27.02.2002	
(83)	Nuorteva, P. et al., Environ. Res. 43, 308-316 (1987)	
(84)	Dalene, M. et al., J. Chromatogr. 516, 405-413 (1990)	
(85)	Rosenberg, C. & Savolainen, H., Analyst 111, 1069-1071 (1986)	
(86)	Alexandersson, R. et al., Arch. Environ. Health 42, 367-373 (1987)	
(87)	Diller, W.F. et al., Zbl. Arbeitsmed. 35, 85-87 (1985)	
(88)	Tornling, G. et al., Am. J. Ind. Med. 17, 299-310 (1990)	
(89)	Grammer, L.C. et al., J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 82, 627-633 (1988)	
(90)	Savolainen, H., Res. Commun. Chem. Pathol. Pharmacol. 71, 385-386 (1991)	
(91)	Akbar-Khanzadeh, F. & Rivas, R.D., J. Occup. Environ. Med. 38, 1205-1212 (1997)	
(92)	Lummus, Z.L. et al., Toxicology 111, 191-206 (1996)	
(93)	Maitre, A. et al., Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health 69, 65-68 (1996)	
(94)	Marczynski, B. et al., Atemwegs- und Lungenkrankheiten 20, 456-457 (1994)	
(95)	Tinnerberg, H. et al., Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health 67, 367-374 (1995)	
(96)	Williams, N.R. et al., Occup. Environ. Med. 56, 598-601 (1999)	
(97)	Liu, Y. et al., Am. J. Ind. Med. 37, 265-274 (2000)	
(98)	ATSDR's Toxicological Profile, August 1998	
(99)	Toxikologisch-arbeitsmedizinische Begründung von MAK -Werten, DFG 1996; incl. amendment (1998)	
(100)	ECETOC, Technical Report No. 77, 13-15, August 1999	